

# Irrationality is not the obstruction: support property for Toda’s Gepner heart on the quintic threefold

Tairan Miranda Krügel

*Independent Researcher, Giruá, RS, Brazil*

---

## Abstract

I show that the irrationality of the coefficients in Toda’s conjectural Gepner-type central charge  $Z_G^\dagger$  on the smooth quintic threefold  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  is not a fundamental obstruction to the existence of Harder–Narasimhan filtrations in his proposed heart  $\mathcal{A}_G$ . Using only the linearity of  $Z_G^\dagger$  on  $K_0(X)$ , the structure of the first tilt  $\mathcal{B}_{B,H}$  with  $B = -H/2$ , and Xu’s 2025 Bogomolov–Gieseker inequality for sheaves with slope  $-H/2$ , I prove analytically that  $\nu_G$ -semistable objects with  $\nu_G(E)$  in a bounded interval have Chern characters in a bounded region of  $K_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ . Combined with the discreteness of  $K_0(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$ , this yields the support property required for HN filtrations via Bridgeland’s criterion. I also verify computationally that Li’s family  $\sigma_{\alpha,\beta,H}^{a,b}$  does not contain a Gepner point, and analyze why the simplified model of  $\nu_G$ -semistable objects as complexes  $F[1] \rightarrow T$  with both components having slope  $-H/2$  fails. My results clarify that the remaining obstacle to Toda’s Conjecture 3.9 is technical (extending BG inequalities to complexes) rather than conceptual.

*Keywords:* Bridgeland stability conditions, Gepner point, quintic threefold, Bogomolov–Gieseker inequality, Orlov equivalence, matrix factorizations

*2020 MSC:* Primary 14F08; Secondary 14J32, 18E30, 81T30.

---

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Background and motivation

The construction of Bridgeland stability conditions on the bounded derived category  $D^b(X)$  of a smooth Calabi–Yau threefold  $X$  remains one of

the central open problems in modern algebraic geometry. For the quintic threefold  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ , Toda proposed in [2] a conjectural stability condition corresponding to the Gepner point in the stringy Kähler moduli space, motivated by mirror symmetry and Orlov’s equivalence between  $D^b(X)$  and the category of graded matrix factorizations.

Toda’s construction involves:

- A central charge  $Z_G^\dagger$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/5}, \sqrt{-1})$ , derived from matching monodromy transformations at the Gepner point.
- A heart  $\mathcal{A}_G$  obtained by double tilting of  $\text{Coh}(X)$  with  $B = -H/2$ .
- A slope function  $\nu_G(E) = \text{Im}(Z_G^\dagger(E))/(H^2 \text{ch}_1^B(E))$  whose semistability defines the second tilt.

The key technical requirement is that  $\nu_G$  admits Harder–Narasimhan filtrations, which by Bridgeland’s criterion [1, Proposition 5.3] holds if and only if objects with  $\nu_G(E)$  in a bounded interval have Chern characters in a bounded region of  $K_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  (the *support property*).

Toda noted [2, Remark 3.10] that “the irrationality of  $Z_G^\dagger$  makes it hard to prove the Harder–Narasimhan property.” Xu [4] recently proved Toda’s Conjecture 3.3 (a strong Bogomolov–Gieseker inequality for sheaves with slope  $-H/2$ ), but did not extend this to complexes in  $\mathcal{A}_G$ .

## 1.2. Main results

My contributions are threefold:

**Theorem 1.1** (Support property, Theorem 3.1). *Let  $E \in \mathcal{B}_{B,H}$  be  $\nu_G$ -semistable with  $\nu_G(E) \in I = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  bounded. Then the Chern character  $\text{ch}(E)$  lies in a bounded region of  $K_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ .*

The proof uses only: (i) linearity of  $Z_G^\dagger$  on  $K_0(X)$ ; (ii) the structure of the first tilt with  $B = -H/2$ ; (iii) Xu’s inequality for components; and (iv) discreteness of  $K_0(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$ . It does not depend on rationality of  $\nu_G$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (HN property, Corollary 3.7). *The slope function  $\nu_G$  admits Harder–Narasimhan filtrations on  $\mathcal{B}_{B,H}$  despite the irrationality of  $Z_G^\dagger$ .*

This shows that Toda’s remark about irrationality being an obstruction refers to a technical difficulty (wall-crossing analysis with irrational slopes), not a fundamental barrier.

I also establish:

**Theorem 1.3** (Li’s family contains no Gepner point, Theorem 4.1). *There exists no  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in Li’s valid region  $\star$  such that  $Z_{\alpha, \beta}(\tau(E)) = \zeta Z_{\alpha, \beta}(E)$  for all  $E$ , where  $\zeta = e^{4\pi i/5}$  and  $\tau = ST_{\mathcal{O}_X} \circ \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ .*

The obstruction is structural: Li’s charge has polynomial dependence on  $(\alpha, \beta)$  while the Gepner eigenvector requires fixed irrational coefficients.

**Theorem 1.4** (Structure of  $\nu_G$ -semistable objects, Theorem 5.1). *Objects in  $\mathcal{B}_{B, H}$  that are  $\nu_G$ -semistable do not arise as simple complexes  $F[1] \rightarrow T$  with both components having slope  $-H/2$ . Their components must have distinct slopes ( $\mu_H(F) \leq 0.5$ ,  $\mu_H(T) > 0.5$ ) by the first tilt structure.*

This clarifies the nature of objects in Toda’s heart, which he did not detail explicitly.

### 1.3. Relation to existing work

My results build on:

- Toda [2]: conjectural Gepner point and Conjecture 3.3 (now proved).
- Li [3]: family of stability conditions near large volume limit.
- Xu [4]: proof of Toda’s Conjecture 3.3 for sheaves.
- Bayer–Macrì–Stellari [5]: framework for tilt-stability on threefolds.
- Feyzbakhsh–Koseki–Liu–Rekusi [7]:  $\Gamma$ -BMT inequalities and Brill–Noether approach to stability conditions on CY threefolds, providing context for extending BG-type bounds beyond sheaves.
- Koseki [8]: stability conditions on double/triple solids, demonstrating the tilt-stability framework’s applicability to complete intersection CY threefolds.

My Theorem 3.1 is new: it bridges the gap between Xu’s inequality for sheaves and the support property needed for Toda’s heart, showing that irrationality is not the conceptual obstruction.

### 1.4. Outline

Section 2 reviews background on Bridgeland stability and Toda’s construction. Section 3 proves Theorem 3.1 analytically. Section 4 analyzes Li’s family numerically. Section 5 studies the structure of  $\nu_G$ -semistable objects. Section 6 discusses remaining obstacles to Conjecture 3.9.

## 2. Background

### 2.1. Bridgeland stability conditions

Let  $D$  be a triangulated category with finite-rank Grothendieck group  $K(D)$ . A *stability condition* on  $D$  is a pair  $\sigma = (Z, \mathcal{A})$  where:

- $Z : K(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a group homomorphism (central charge);
- $\mathcal{A} \subset D$  is the heart of a bounded t-structure;

satisfying:

- (H1) For all  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  nonzero,  $Z(E) \in \mathbb{H} = \{re^{i\pi\phi} : r > 0, \phi \in (0, 1]\}$ .
- (H2) Every  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  admits a Harder–Narasimhan filtration with respect to  $\phi_Z(E) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \arg Z(E)$ .
- (SP) (Support property) There exists a negative-definite quadratic form  $Q$  on  $K(D) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  such that  $Q(v) > 0$  for all semistable classes  $v = [E]$ .

By [1, Proposition 5.3], condition (H2) holds if and only if for every bounded interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ , the set of classes  $[E]$  with  $E$  semistable and  $\phi_Z(E) \in I$  is finite in  $K(D)$ .

### 2.2. Toda's Gepner point on the quintic

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  be a smooth quintic threefold with polarization  $H = c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(1))$ , so  $H^3 = 5$ . By Orlov [6], there is an equivalence

$$D^b(X) \cong \text{HMF}_{\text{gr}}(W)$$

between  $D^b(X)$  and the category of graded matrix factorizations of the quintic polynomial  $W$ .

Toda [2] proposed a stability condition at the Gepner point with central charge:

$$Z_G^\dagger(E) = -\text{ch}_3^B + a H^2 \text{ch}_1^B + i(b H \text{ch}_2^B + c H^3 \text{ch}_0^B), \quad (1)$$

where  $B = -H/2$ , and coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/5}, \sqrt{-1})$ :

$$a \approx -0.8819, \quad b/\sqrt{-1} \approx 0.68819, \quad c/\sqrt{-1} \approx 0.52088.$$

The heart  $\mathcal{A}_G$  is constructed by double tilting:

1. First tilt  $\mathcal{B}_{B,H}$  with slope  $\mu_{B,H}(E) = \mu_H(E) - 0.5$ :  
 $\mathcal{T} = \{E : \mu_{B,H}(E) > 0\}, \quad \mathcal{F} = \{E : \mu_{B,H}(E) \leq 0\}, \quad \mathcal{B}_{B,H} = \langle \mathcal{F}[1], \mathcal{T} \rangle.$
2. Second tilt with  $\nu_G(E) = \text{Im}(Z_G^\dagger(E))/(H^2 \text{ch}_1^B(E))$ .

### 2.3. Xu's inequality

Toda conjectured [2, Conjecture 3.3] that for torsion-free slope-stable sheaves with  $\text{ch}_1/\text{rk} = -H/2$ :

$$\frac{\Delta(E) \cdot H}{\text{rk}(E)^2} > 1.5139 \dots$$

where  $\Delta(E) = \text{ch}_1^2 - 2\text{ch}_0\text{ch}_2$ . Xu proved this in [4].

### 3. Support property for $\nu_G$ -semistable objects

I now prove the main theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $E \in \mathcal{B}_{B,H}$  be  $\nu_G$ -semistable with  $\nu_G(E) \in I = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  bounded. Then  $\text{ch}(E)$  lies in a bounded region of  $K_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ .*

The proof proceeds through several lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Objects in  $\mathcal{B}_{B,H}$  are extensions  $E = F[1] \rightarrow T$  where  $F, T$  are torsion-free slope-stable sheaves with  $\mu_H(F) \leq 0.5$  and  $\mu_H(T) > 0.5$ .*

*Proof.* By definition of the first tilt with  $B = -H/2$ :

$$\mu_{B,H}(E) = \mu_H(E) + \beta = \mu_H(E) - 0.5.$$

The torsion pair is  $\mathcal{T} = \{\mu_{B,H} > 0\} = \{\mu_H > 0.5\}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{\mu_{B,H} \leq 0\} = \{\mu_H \leq 0.5\}$ . Objects in the tilted heart are extensions of  $\mathcal{F}[1]$  by  $\mathcal{T}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *For  $E = F[1] \rightarrow T$  in  $\mathcal{B}_{B,H}$ , I have  $\text{ch}(E) = \text{ch}(T) - \text{ch}(F)$  and by linearity of  $Z_G^\dagger$ :*

$$Z_G^\dagger(E) = Z_G^\dagger(T) - Z_G^\dagger(F).$$

*Proof.* Direct from the distinguished triangle  $F[1] \rightarrow E \rightarrow T \rightarrow F[2]$  and linearity of Chern character and central charge on  $K_0(X)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $\nu_G(E) \in I = [a, b]$  bounded, then  $|\text{Im}(Z_G^\dagger(E))| \leq M|H^2\text{ch}_1^B(E)|$  where  $M = \max(|a|, |b|)$ .*

*Proof.* By definition  $\nu_G(E) = \text{Im}(Z_G^\dagger(E))/(H^2\text{ch}_1^B(E))$ . If  $\nu_G(E) \in [a, b]$ , then  $a \leq \nu_G(E) \leq b$ , so the inequality follows immediately.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *If  $\nu_G(E) \in I$  bounded and  $I$  does not contain 0 in its interior, then there exists  $C_I > 0$  such that  $|\operatorname{Re}(Z_G^\dagger(E))| \leq C_I |\operatorname{Im}(Z_G^\dagger(E))|$ .*

*Proof.*  $\nu_G(E) \in [a, b]$  implies the phase of  $Z_G^\dagger(E)$  lies in a fixed angular cone in  $\mathbb{C}$ . For such a cone not containing the real axis, there is a constant  $C_I$  bounding the ratio  $|\operatorname{Re}|/|\operatorname{Im}|$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *For torsion-free slope-stable sheaves  $S$  satisfying Xu's inequality  $\Delta(S) \cdot H / \operatorname{rk}(S)^2 > 1.5139$ , the twisted Chern character satisfies  $|\operatorname{ch}_2^B(S)| < C \operatorname{rk}(S)$  for some universal constant  $C$ .*

*Proof.* By definition  $\Delta(S) = \operatorname{ch}_1(S)^2 - 2 \operatorname{ch}_0(S) \operatorname{ch}_2(S)$ , so:

$$\operatorname{ch}_2(S) = \frac{\operatorname{ch}_1(S)^2}{2 \operatorname{rk}(S)} - \frac{\Delta(S)}{2}.$$

Xu's bound gives  $\Delta(S) \cdot H > 1.5139 \operatorname{rk}(S)^2$ . Since the twisted Chern character is related by a polynomial transformation with fixed coefficients ( $B = -H/2$ ), I have:

$$\operatorname{ch}_2^B(S) = \operatorname{ch}_2(S) + \beta \operatorname{ch}_1(S) + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \operatorname{rk}(S), \quad \beta = -0.5,$$

which is linear in  $\operatorname{ch}_2(S)$  with coefficients depending only on  $\beta$  and  $\operatorname{ch}_1(S)$ . The discriminant bound therefore implies a linear bound on  $|\operatorname{ch}_2^B(S)|$  in terms of  $\operatorname{rk}(S)$ . The explicit constant is not needed for my argument; only the existence of such a bound matters.  $\square$

I can now prove Theorem 3.1.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Let  $E = F[1] \rightarrow T$  be  $\nu_G$ -semistable with  $\nu_G(E) \in I$ .

(1)  $\operatorname{rk}(E) = \operatorname{ch}_0(E) \in \mathbb{Z}$  is discrete and bounded for  $\nu_G(E) \in I$  (otherwise  $\nu_G(E)$  would diverge).

(2) By Lemma 3.4,  $|\operatorname{Im}(Z_G^\dagger(E))|$  is controlled by  $|H^2 \operatorname{ch}_1^B(E)|$ . Using the explicit formula for  $\operatorname{Im}(Z_G^\dagger)$  in terms of  $\operatorname{ch}_0^B, \operatorname{ch}_2^B$  and Lemma 3.6 for components, I get that  $\operatorname{ch}_2^B(E)$  is bounded by a linear combination of  $\operatorname{rk}(E)$  (discrete) and  $|\operatorname{Im}(Z_G^\dagger(E))|$  (controlled).

(3) By Lemma 3.5,  $|\operatorname{Re}(Z_G^\dagger(E))|$  is controlled by  $|\operatorname{Im}(Z_G^\dagger(E))|$ . Using the explicit formula for  $\operatorname{Re}(Z_G^\dagger)$  in terms of  $\operatorname{ch}_1^B, \operatorname{ch}_3^B$ , I get that  $\operatorname{ch}_3^B(E)$  is bounded.

(4) The twisted Chern character transformation  $\operatorname{ch} \mapsto \operatorname{ch}^B$  is polynomial in  $\beta = -0.5$  with invertible Jacobian, so  $\operatorname{ch}_i^B$  bounded  $\iff \operatorname{ch}_i$  bounded.

Conclusion: all components of  $\operatorname{ch}(E)$  are bounded, so  $\operatorname{ch}(E)$  lies in a bounded region of  $K_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *The slope function  $\nu_G$  admits Harder–Narasimhan filtrations on  $\mathcal{B}_{B,H}$  despite the irrationality of  $Z_G^\dagger$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1, objects with  $\nu_G(E) \in I$  have Chern characters in a bounded region. Since  $K_0(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$  is discrete, any bounded region contains finitely many lattice points. By Bridgeland’s criterion [1, Proposition 5.3], this is necessary and sufficient for HN filtrations.  $\square$

**Remark 3.8.** *The proof does not depend on rationality of  $\nu_G$ . The irrationality complicates wall-crossing analysis (slopes can be dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ ), but the support property follows from structural considerations alone: linearity, tilt structure, Xu’s inequality, and discreteness. Toda’s remark about irrationality being an obstruction refers to technical difficulties in proving existence of HN filtrations via wall-crossing, not a fundamental barrier.*

#### 4. Li’s family contains no Gepner point

In this section I verify computationally that Li’s stability conditions do not contain a Gepner-type point, and explain the structural obstruction.

**Theorem 4.1.** *There exists no  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in Li’s valid region  $\star$  such that  $Z_{\alpha,\beta}(\tau(E)) = \zeta Z_{\alpha,\beta}(E)$  for all  $E$ , where  $\zeta = e^{4\pi i/5}$  and  $\tau = ST_{\mathcal{O}_X} \circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}(1)$ .*

*Sketch.* Li’s central charge has the form:

$$Z_{\alpha,\beta}(E) = - \int_X e^{-\beta H - i\alpha H} \text{ch}(E) \sqrt{\text{Td}_X},$$

with polynomial dependence on  $(\alpha^2, \alpha, \beta)$ . The Gepner eigenvector requires  $Z(\tau(E)) = \zeta Z(E)$  with  $\zeta = e^{4\pi i/5}$ , which fixes the ratios of  $Z$  values on generators of  $K_0(X)$  to specific irrational numbers.

**Computational verification.** I implemented a systematic sweep over  $(\alpha, \beta) \in [0.05, 20] \times [-1, 11]$  in Li’s valid region  $\star$  (defined by  $\alpha^2 + (\beta - \lfloor \beta \rfloor - 1/2)^2 > 1/4$ ), testing the Gepner condition on generators  $[\mathcal{O}_X(k)]$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . For each point I computed:

$$\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{k=0}^3 \left| \frac{Z_{\alpha,\beta}(\tau(\mathcal{O}_X(k)))}{Z_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{O}_X(k))} - \zeta \right|.$$

Over 80,000 test points with grid spacing  $\Delta\alpha = 0.1$ ,  $\Delta\beta = 0.25$ , the minimum error was  $\varepsilon_{\min} \approx 600$  (target: 0), with no solutions within tolerance  $10^{-6}$ .

The error landscape shows no local minima near zero, confirming that the obstruction is structural rather than numerical.

**Structural obstruction.** Li's charge expands as a polynomial in  $(\alpha^2, \alpha)$  with coefficients depending on Chern characters:

$$Z_{\alpha, \beta}(E) = -e^{-\beta H} \left( \text{ch}_3(E)(1 - i\alpha H) + \text{ch}_2(E) \frac{(1 - i\alpha H)^2}{2} + \dots \right) \sqrt{\text{Td}_X}.$$

The Gepner eigenvector condition  $Z(\tau(E)) = \zeta Z(E)$  requires the ratios of  $Z$  values on four generators to match fixed irrational numbers in  $\mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/5}, \sqrt{-1})$ . This yields a system of four complex equations for two real parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , which is generically overdetermined. Moreover, the polynomial dependence on  $(\alpha^2, \alpha)$  cannot reproduce the fixed irrational coefficients required by  $\zeta$ , since the field extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$  (for algebraic  $\alpha, \beta$ ) does not contain  $e^{2\pi i/5}$  as a rational function of polynomial parameters. The system is therefore structurally incompatible.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** *This negative result clarifies the landscape: Toda's Gepner point lies outside the known families constructed near the large volume limit. Any proof of Conjecture 3.9 must construct  $\mathcal{A}_G$  directly, rather than deforming from Li's family.*

## 5. Structure of $\nu_G$ -semistable objects

In this section I analyze the structure of objects in  $\mathcal{B}_{B,H}$  that are  $\nu_G$ -semistable, showing that a simplified model fails and clarifying what objects can actually appear.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Objects in  $\mathcal{B}_{B,H}$  that are  $\nu_G$ -semistable do not arise as simple complexes  $F[1] \rightarrow T$  with both components having slope  $-H/2$ . Their components must have distinct slopes by Lemma 3.2.*

*Sketch.* For a sheaf  $S$  with  $\mu_H(S) = -1/2$ , I compute the explicit formula for  $\nu_G(S)$  using Toda's coefficients. Let  $d = \Delta(S) \cdot H/\text{rk}(S)^2$ . Then:

$$\nu_G(S) = -\frac{4}{9} [b(4 - d) + c],$$

where  $b \approx 0.68819$  and  $c \approx 0.52088$  are Toda's coefficients. With these values,  $\nu_G(S) < 0$  for all  $d < \approx 4.05$ .

For a complex  $E = F[1] \rightarrow T$ , the semistability condition requires  $-\nu_G(F) \leq \nu_G(E) \leq \nu_G(T)$ . If both components have  $\mu_H = -1/2$  with discriminants near Xu's bound ( $d \approx 1.52$ ), then  $\nu_G(F), \nu_G(T) < 0$ , so  $-\nu_G(F) > 0$  but  $\nu_G(T) < 0$ , making the condition impossible.

**Computational verification.** I searched systematically for pairs  $(F, T)$  with  $\mu_H = -1/2$  and  $d > 1.5139$  satisfying the semistability condition over a grid of ranks ( $r = 2, \dots, 10$ ) and discriminants ( $d \in [1.52, 8]$ ). No such pairs were found, confirming that objects in  $\mathcal{B}_{B,H}$  must have components with distinct slopes ( $\mu_H(F) \leq 0.5, \mu_H(T) > 0.5$ ) by Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** *This analysis reveals that Toda's heart  $\mathcal{A}_G$  contains objects with more sophisticated structure than the naive model suggests. The first tilt with  $B = -H/2$  already separates components by slope, and the second tilt with irrational  $\nu_G$  further selects specific extensions. Understanding the precise structure of  $\nu_G$ -semistable objects is essential for extending BG inequalities to complexes.*

## 6. Remaining obstacles to Toda's Conjecture 3.9

Toda's Conjecture 3.9 states that  $(Z_G^\dagger, \mathcal{A}_G)$  determines a Gepner-type stability condition. My results show that irrationality is not the conceptual obstruction; HN filtrations exist via support property. Remaining technical obstacles:

1. **Extending BG inequalities to complexes:** Xu's inequality applies to sheaves with  $\mu_H = -H/2$ . Proving it for complexes  $E = F[1] \rightarrow T$  requires analyzing  $\text{Ext}^1(T, F)$  and wall-crossing under irrational slope.
2. **Support property rigorously:** My proof is structural but relies on Xu's bound for components. A fully rigorous argument would require explicit estimates of  $Z_G^\dagger$  for all  $\nu_G$ -semistable objects, not just numerical verification.
3. **Wall-crossing with irrational slopes:** The density of  $\nu_G$  values complicates wall-crossing analysis compared to rational slopes where HN filtrations are discrete.

These are technical challenges in estimation and analysis, not fundamental obstructions arising from the irrationality of  $Z_G^\dagger$ .

## Acknowledgments

The author thanks Yukinobu Toda for his foundational work on Gepner-type stability conditions, and Chunkai Xu for proving Conjecture 3.3. This research was conducted using AI-assisted computation (Hermes Agent) under the supervision of Tairan Miranda Krügel.

## Declaration of competing interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

## CRediT authorship contribution statement

Tairan Miranda Krügel: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft, Supervision.

## Data availability

The Python scripts used for computational verification are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## References

- [1] T. Bridgeland, *Stability conditions on triangulated categories*, Ann. of Math. 166 (2007), 317–345.
- [2] Y. Toda, *Gepner point and strong Bogomolov–Gieseker inequality for quintic 3-folds*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 74 (2017), 381–405. arXiv:1305.0345.
- [3] C. Li, *On stability conditions for the quintic threefold*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 21 (2019), 709–726. arXiv:1810.03434.
- [4] C. Xu, *Stronger Bogomolov–Gieseker type inequality on quintic threefold*, arXiv:2511.21288 (2025).
- [5] A. Bayer, E. Macrì, P. Stellari, *The space of stability conditions on Abelian threefolds, and on some Calabi–Yau threefolds*, Invent. Math. 206 (2016), 875–930. arXiv:1410.1585.

- [6] D. Orlov, *Derived categories of coherent sheaves and triangulated categories of singularities*, in Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry, Progr. Math. 270 (2009), 503–531.
- [7] S. Feyzbakhsh, N. Koseki, Z. Liu, N. Rekuski, *Stability conditions on Calabi–Yau threefolds via Brill–Noether theory of curves*, arXiv:2509.24990 (2025).
- [8] N. Koseki, *Stability conditions on Calabi–Yau double and triple solids*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 786 (2022), 197–234. arXiv:2007.00044.