

The Theory of Latent Geometric Regions

AL_78 Independent Researcher

Working Document — April 2026 (v4.1)

Abstract

This work proposes a novel conceptual framework for quantum gravity phenomenology based on the notion of latent geometric capacity. We distinguish between space — the pre-geometric capacity to contain adjacency relations — and the universe — the actually occupied classical volume. Within this picture, the orientation sign $\mu_v = \pm 1$ of spin-network vertices in Loop Quantum Gravity is reinterpreted: a connected cluster of $\mu_v = -1$ vertices constitutes a physical *latent geometric region* — a topological defect in the orientation field that contributes negatively to the volume operator. An isolated $\mu_v = -1$ vertex, by contrast, remains a gauge artifact. Similarly, negative-tension branes in M-theory compactifications are recast as compressed capacity stored in compactified dimensions.

We postulate that cosmological expansion proceeds via geometric annihilation: the dynamical conversion of negative-capacity regions (V_-) into positive classical volume (V_+) at their interface. The effective 4D dynamics are governed by the standard Einstein equations supplemented by an effective energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}^{(V_-)}$ sourced by this latent capacity.

To move beyond purely conceptual arguments, we present an explicit analytical calculation of the volume operator on a minimal tetrahedral spin-network graph with all spins $j = 1/2$. This model demonstrates the exact cancellation of volume when a single inverse-orientation vertex is present and provides a concrete, derived benchmark for the latent fraction $|V_-|/V_+$, replacing earlier illustrative placeholders with a computable order of magnitude.

The primary contribution remains conceptual and interpretative, but is now anchored to a tractable computational core. We identify a unifying category — latent geometric capacity — that bridges Loop Quantum Gravity and M-theory. Phenomenological consequences are outlined, including potential imprints on the primordial power spectrum, quasinormal mode spectra of compact objects, and logarithmic corrections to black hole entropy. A phased research roadmap is presented, outlining the analytical, numerical, and observational steps required to transform this heuristic framework into a quantitatively predictive theory.

All numerical estimates are explicitly identified as derived from the minimal model where applicable, with extrapolations clearly marked as pending first-principles spin-foam calculations.

Relative to the initial conceptual draft (v1), this substantially revised version adds: (i) an explicit minimal computational model on a tetrahedral spin-network graph demonstrating volume cancellation; (ii) a gauge-invariant characterization of inverse-orientation domains via correlation functions; (iii) an effective Ising-like Hamiltonian for the large-scale orientation dynamics; (iv) a refined phenomenological approach that treats key parameters as free and scans their allowed ranges rather than selecting fiducial values; and (v) a detailed research roadmap with concrete experimental targets. The framework thus evolves from a heuristic sketch to a physically grounded research program.

Contents

1	Motivation: Expansion as Symmetry Breaking	4
2	Postulates	4
2.1	Coherent Domains of Inverse Orientation (LQG)	4
2.1.1	Gauge Status of Vertex Orientation	4
2.1.2	Gauge-Invariant Characterization of Inverse-Orientation Domains	5
2.2	Compressed Capacity as Boundary Conditions (M-Theory)	6
2.3	Geometric Annihilation as Actualization	7
3	Mathematical Formalism	8
3.1	Volume Operator in LQG (Large-Scale Limit)	8
3.1.1	Schematic Form	8
3.1.2	Order of Magnitude Estimate	8
3.2	Latent Region Contributions (M-Theory)	8
3.3	Effective Energy-Momentum Tensor (Bubble Wall Model)	9
3.4	Minimal Computational Model: Tetrahedral Graph with $j = 1/2$	9
3.4.1	Graph and State Space	9
3.4.2	Orientation Configurations and Volume Expectation	9
3.4.3	Latent Fraction Estimate	10
3.4.4	Statistical Scaling to Macroscopic Latent Fractions	10
3.5	Minimal Model vs. Full Theory	11
4	Predictions: Theoretical and Observational Status	11
4.1	Summary Table	11
4.2	Representative Quantities (Theoretical)	11
4.3	Where to Look: Proposed Experimental Tests	11
5	Cosmological Consequences	12
5.1	Potential Correction	12
5.2	Primary Power Spectrum	12
5.3	Dark Energy Correction	12
6	Black Holes, QNM, and Wormholes	13
6.1	Entropy Correction	13
6.2	QNM Frequency Shifts (Population Analysis)	13
6.3	Wormhole Conditions	13
7	Limitations and Open Problems	14
8	Research Roadmap	14
8.1	Phase 1: Analytical Benchmarks (2026–2027)	14
8.2	Phase 2: Numerical Spin-Foam Simulations (2027–2029)	14
8.3	Phase 3: Phenomenological Constraints (2028–2030)	15

9	Future Experimental Directions	15
9.1	High-Precision CMB and Large-Scale Structure Surveys	15
9.2	Gravitational-Wave Population and Ringdown Spectroscopy	16
9.3	Analog Quantum Simulation of Spin-Network Volumes	16
9.3.1	Explicit Circuit Proposal for IBM Quantum	17
9.4	High-Redshift Structure and Cross-Correlation Anomalies	17
9.5	Summary of Experimental Targets	17
10	Conclusions	17
10.1	Summary of the Proposal	17
10.2	Principal Novelty and Enhancements	18
10.3	Status of Predictions	18
10.4	Limitations and Open Directions	19
10.5	Closing Remarks	19
A	Formula Summary	19

1 Motivation: Expansion as Symmetry Breaking

Standard cosmology treats the expanding universe as a scaling of a pre-existing manifold. We propose a different conceptual framework: expansion as spontaneous symmetry breaking of the adjacency graph. We distinguish *space* — the capacity to contain geometry — from the *universe* — the actually occupied volume. Space exists as a graph of possible adjacencies: spin network structure in Loop Quantum Gravity, brane-world configurations in M-theory. Latent geometric regions correspond to orientation-reversed vertices or negative-tension branes — configurations that carry negative volume expectation because they represent unactualized capacity.

The universe’s expansion corresponds to the dynamical conversion of pre-geometric capacity into classical volume. This is the “universe” filling the “space”. We consider expansion not as stretching of the existing, but as the filling of previously unoriented or oppositely oriented volume, similar to how magnetization aligns random spins in a ferromagnet.

The theory below formalizes this picture. Postulates 1–3 define latent geometric regions in established frameworks. Sections 3–4 derive observable consequences.

2 Postulates

2.1 Coherent Domains of Inverse Orientation (LQG)

In the spin network description of space, each vertex v carries an orientation factor $\mu_v = \pm 1$. The positive value $\mu_v = +1$ corresponds to actualized geometric volume. The negative value $\mu_v = -1$ corresponds to latent geometric capacity — adjacency structure that exists as potential but carries negative volume contribution.

A single isolated $\mu_v = -1$ is a gauge artifact (left-handed triad orientation). However, a connected cluster of such vertices — a Coherent Domain of Inverse Orientation — constitutes a physical object with negative volume due to violation of global manifold orientation:

$$\hat{V}_\Omega|\gamma_{\text{inv}}\rangle = -\ell_P^3\sqrt{|q_v|}|\gamma_{\text{inv}}\rangle, \quad \mu_v = -1 \quad (1)$$

where $q_v = \det(g_{\text{discr}})$ and $\ell_P = \sqrt{\hbar G/c^3}$.

Origin: Inverse orientation arises from topological defects in the capacity graph — local failures of triad consistency that create “holes” where geometry can later actualize. In standard LQG, orientation is determined by triad consistency; local causality violations or topological defects can induce $\mu_v = -1$. Numerical simulations (spin foam dynamics, Monte Carlo) are needed to estimate $P(\mu_v = -1)$ in typical states and the minimal size of physically significant coherent domains.

2.1.1 Gauge Status of Vertex Orientation

In canonical LQG, the orientation factor $\mu_v = \pm 1$ arises from the relative sign of the triad e_i^a at the vertex. A single vertex with $\mu_v = -1$ can be transformed to $\mu_v = +1$ by a local $O(3)$ rotation (or a parity transformation) combined with a gauge transformation. For this reason, isolated negative orientations are considered pure gauge artifacts in the standard kinematical

Hilbert space.

However, the situation changes fundamentally when a connected cluster of vertices shares the inverted orientation. The gauge transformation that flips a single vertex does not extend globally across the cluster boundary without creating a topological defect — a domain wall in the orientation field. This is analogous to the impossibility of globally rotating all spins in a ferromagnetic domain without creating a domain wall; the relative orientation between domains is a physical observable.

In the spin-foam framework, orientation-reversed vertices correspond to a change in the sign of the Immirzi parameter or to a parity-inverted branch of the amplitude. Recent work on causal structures in spin foams [4, 5] has shown that such orientation flips are associated with the time-like vs. space-like character of the dual triangulation. A coherent cluster of inverted vertices thus corresponds to a macroscopic region of space-like tetrahedra, which is a diffeomorphism-invariant physical property.

Consequently, we adopt the following physical interpretation:

An isolated $\mu_v = -1$ vertex is unphysical (gauge redundancy). A connected domain of $\mu_v = -1$ vertices is a latent geometric region, carrying negative volume expectation and contributing to the effective 4D dynamics as outlined in Postulate 2.3.

This interpretation is consistent with the fact that the volume operator is not invariant under local sign flips at individual vertices but is sensitive to the global pattern of orientation assignments.

Criterion for physical coherence. The transition from gauge artifact to physical domain is governed by the correlation length ξ of the spin-foam amplitude. A connected set of inverted vertices forms a physical latent region if its linear size L exceeds ξ . At scales $L \ll \xi$, quantum fluctuations restore gauge invariance and individual sign flips are unobservable. At scales $L \gg \xi$, the domain wall becomes a semiclassical topological defect. In the cosmological context, ξ is expected to be of order ℓ_P during the Planck era, growing to macroscopic scales during inflation. A precise determination of ξ requires the numerical spin-foam simulations of Phase 2. For the minimal tetrahedral graph, the two vertices are maximally entangled, so $L \sim \xi$ and the inverted domain is marginally physical.

2.1.2 Gauge-Invariant Characterization of Inverse-Orientation Domains

To strengthen the physical interpretation of coherent inverse-orientation domains, we introduce a gauge-invariant characterization based on correlation functions of the orientation field.

Define the coarse-grained orientation field over a region Ω :

$$M(\Omega) = \frac{1}{N_\Omega} \sum_{v \in \Omega} \mu_v, \quad (2)$$

where N_Ω is the number of vertices in Ω .

While individual μ_v are gauge-dependent, the correlation function

$$C(r) = \langle \mu_v \mu_{v+r} \rangle \quad (3)$$

is invariant under local gauge transformations that act independently at each vertex.

A coherent inverse-orientation domain is then defined as a region Ω such that:

$$\lim_{|r| \rightarrow L} C(r) \rightarrow +1 \quad \text{with} \quad M(\Omega) < 0, \quad (4)$$

i.e., long-range correlation with negative average orientation.

This condition distinguishes physical domains from gauge artifacts:

- Gauge fluctuations: $C(r) \rightarrow 0$ for $|r| \gg \xi$.
- Physical domains: $C(r) \rightarrow \text{const} \neq 0$ for large separations.

where ξ is the correlation length of the spin-foam amplitude.

Relation to the LQG volume operator. To connect the orientation flux to the LQG structure, we express the flux $\Phi(\Sigma)$ through a surface Σ bounding Ω in terms of the volume operator:

$$\Phi(\Sigma) \propto \frac{\langle \hat{V}_\Omega \rangle}{v_0}, \quad (5)$$

where \hat{V}_Ω is the LQG volume operator and v_0 is the elementary volume scale. Since \hat{V}_Ω depends on the orientation signs μ_v through the triple products of flux operators, a non-zero expectation value with alternating signs corresponds to a non-trivial orientation configuration. In particular, a vanishing $\langle \hat{V}_\Omega \rangle$ in the presence of non-zero local contributions signals cancellation between $\mu_v = \pm 1$ regions, identifying a latent geometric domain. Thus, $\Phi(\Sigma)$ is not an independent construct but a coarse-grained observable derived from the LQG volume operator.

Thus, coherent $\mu_v = -1$ regions correspond to symmetry-broken phases of the orientation field, analogous to ferromagnetic domains, and are characterized by non-vanishing long-range order. This provides a diffeomorphism-invariant criterion for the existence of latent geometric regions.

2.2 Compressed Capacity as Boundary Conditions (M-Theory)

Cautionary note: The connection to M-theory presented here is schematic and serves as a conceptual parallel to the LGR ontology developed in Section 2.1. A rigorous derivation of the effective 4D volume deficit δV_{4D} from a stabilized flux compactification is beyond the scope of this work and remains an open problem. The formulas below should be read as indicating the type of contribution expected from negative-tension sources, not as quantitative predictions.

Methodological stance. The LGR framework does not aim to derive its core postulates from the internal dynamics of either LQG or M-theory. Nor does it claim to prove an equivalence between their respective mathematical structures. Rather, it identifies a structural parallel — inverse orientation in spin networks and negative tension in brane configurations — as independent manifestations of a single, novel category: latent geometric capacity. The validity of LGR rests on its own phenomenological coherence and falsifiable predictions, not on the ultimate consistency or fate of the theories that inspired its construction. In this sense, LGR is a distinct theoretical proposal that utilizes existing frameworks as heuristic scaffolding, not as logical foundations.

In compactified M-theory, orientifold planes with negative tension represent boundary conditions for compactified dimensions that store information about potentially accessible degrees of freedom. These boundaries carry compressed geometric capacity — dimensional reduction configurations where the “room” of extra dimensions contributes negatively to effective 4D volume:

$$\delta V_{4D} = -\kappa |T_p| \int_{CY_3} \star_6(e^{-\phi} \text{Re } \Omega_3) \quad (6)$$

where $|T_p| > 0$ is absolute tension, $\kappa = (2\pi)^7 / (g_s \ell_s^8)$ (model-dependent).

Origin: Negative tension arises in flux compactifications or with orientifold planes. In this picture, the O-plane is treated not as an object, but as a boundary condition for compactified dimensions that store information about potentially accessible degrees of freedom. When a brane moves, it annihilates with part of this capacity, which appears effectively in 4D as a transition $V_- \rightarrow V_+$.

2.3 Geometric Annihilation as Actualization

The filling of latent capacity — conversion of unoriented domains to oriented volume — proceeds through geometric annihilation. Combination of positive and negative contributions in leading order gives:

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{v \in \Omega} \mu_v V_v + \delta V_{\text{brane}}, \quad V_{\text{eff}} < \sum_v |V_v| \quad (7)$$

The effective volume is less than the sum of absolute capacities because annihilation occurs at the interface between actualized and latent regions.

Dynamical mechanism. The conversion $V_- \rightarrow V_+$ is driven by the propagation of the orientation domain wall. In the canonical LQG framework, the wall moves when the flux operators $\hat{J}_i^{(e)}$ on edges crossing the boundary undergo a coherent transition. This process is analogous to magnetic reconnection in plasma physics: the boundary acts as a current sheet where the orientation flux “reconnects,” releasing latent geometric capacity as positive volume. The rate Γ introduced in Section 4 is proportional to the domain wall velocity, which in turn is set by the energy difference between the V_- and V_+ phases. A microscopic derivation of Γ from spin-foam amplitudes is the subject of ongoing work.

Effective orientation dynamics. The large-scale behavior of the orientation field can be modeled by an effective Ising-like Hamiltonian on the spin network:

$$H_{\text{eff}} = -J \sum_{\langle v,w \rangle} \mu_v \mu_w + h \sum_v \mu_v, \quad (8)$$

where $J > 0$ favors aligned orientations. In this picture, inverse-orientation domains correspond to metastable excitations separated by domain walls with tension $\sigma \sim J$. This provides a minimal dynamical mechanism for the formation and stability of coherent $\mu_v = -1$ regions.

Stability Condition: To prevent runaway conversion (collapse of all capacity into immediate actualization):

$$|V_-|/V_+ < 1/2 \quad (9)$$

Stricter dynamical constraints follow from modified Einstein equations (see Section 3.3).

3 Mathematical Formalism

3.1 Volume Operator in LQG (Large-Scale Limit)

3.1.1 Schematic Form

Convenient schematic form for the discrete volume operator:

$$\hat{V}_\Omega = \sum_{v \in \Omega} \mu_v \sqrt[3]{\frac{i}{48} \sum_{e_I, e_J, e_K} \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{J}^{(e_I)i} \hat{J}^{(e_J)j} \hat{J}^{(e_K)k}} \quad (10)$$

where \hat{J}_e^i are flux operators associated with edges e incident to vertex v .

Critical Note on Additivity: In this form, the operator is non-additive: the sum of volumes of two adjacent vertices does not equal the volume of their union. We specify that (2.1) applies in the large-scale limit or with smeared volume operators (e.g., Quantum Reduced Loop Gravity approach). Otherwise, $V_+ + V_- \neq 0$ during annihilation, creating an “incompressible remainder” of geometry.

3.1.2 Order of Magnitude Estimate

If N_v vertices contribute roughly one Planck volume each and a fraction f_{inv} are inverted:

$$|V_-| \sim f_{\text{inv}} N_v \ell_P^3 \quad (11)$$

Numerical example: For $N_v \sim 10^2$, $f_{\text{inv}} \sim 0.1$:

$$|V_-| \sim 10 \ell_P^3 \approx 10^{-104} \text{ m}^3 \quad (12)$$

Very small in SI units, large in Planck units.

3.2 Latent Region Contributions (M-Theory)

From dimensional reduction on a Calabi-Yau 3-fold, terms of type (1.2) collect. Representative toy model estimates:

$$\delta V_{4D} \sim -\ell_{\text{char}}^3 \quad (\text{per brane, model-dependent}) \quad (13)$$

Note on scale: The value of the characteristic length ℓ_{char} depends on the compactification geometry. The numerical placeholder 10^{-30} m^3 (corresponding to $\ell_{\text{char}} \sim 10^{-10} \text{ m}$) is chosen solely for dimensional illustration as a mesoscopic scale intermediate between the Planck volume ($\sim 10^{-104} \text{ m}^3$) and atomic scales. No specific string compactification is claimed to yield exactly this value; it serves as an order-of-magnitude proxy for estimating potentially observable effects. A first-principles derivation of ℓ_{char} from a stabilized Calabi-Yau compactification remains an open problem.

Induced metric deformation:

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a(t)^2 \left[\frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2 d\Omega^2 \right] \quad (14)$$

with $k < 0$ for latent-region-dominated areas.

3.3 Effective Energy-Momentum Tensor (Bubble Wall Model)

On large scales, regions with latent geometric regions require a modified description. Instead of a simple perfect fluid, we propose a “rigid 2D fluid” tensor for the interface between V_+ and V_- :

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(V_-)} = \text{diag}(-\rho, p_r, p_\perp, p_\perp) \quad (15)$$

with equation of state:

$$\begin{aligned} p_r &= -\rho \quad (\text{tension along radius}) \\ p_\perp &= 0 \quad (\text{angular pressure}) \end{aligned}$$

This aligns with the physics of a “bubble wall” absorbing latent volume. Modified Einstein equations:

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G(T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{std}} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(V_-)}) \quad (16)$$

3.4 Minimal Computational Model: Tetrahedral Graph with $j = 1/2$

To illustrate the physical consequences of a coherent inverse-orientation domain, we compute the volume operator expectation for the simplest non-trivial spin-network graph: two vertices connected by four edges (a topological tetrahedron). We assign all edges the spin $j = 1/2$ representation. This allows an explicit analytical diagonalisation of the volume operator.

3.4.1 Graph and State Space

Consider two vertices v_A, v_B and four edges $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ connecting them. The flux operators $\hat{J}_i^{(e)}$ act on the $j = 1/2$ representation space of each edge. The gauge-invariant state space at each vertex is the singlet subspace of the tensor product of four spin-1/2 representations. For a vertex with four edges carrying spin 1/2, the eigenvalues of the volume operator are known analytically [1–3]. The non-zero eigenvalues are $\pm(\sqrt{3}/2)\ell_P^3$ (up to an overall normalisation convention). The sign is determined by the orientation factor $\mu_v = \pm 1$.

3.4.2 Orientation Configurations and Volume Expectation

We consider three configurations of the two-vertex graph:

- (1) Both vertices actualized ($\mu_A = \mu_B = +1$). The total volume operator $\hat{V}_\Omega = \hat{V}_A + \hat{V}_B$ has eigenvalues

$$V_{\text{tot}} = +\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\ell_P^3 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\ell_P^3 = \sqrt{3}\ell_P^3 \approx 1.732\ell_P^3. \quad (17)$$

- (2) Single inverted vertex ($\mu_A = +1, \mu_B = -1$). The total volume becomes:

$$V_{\text{tot}} = +\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\ell_P^3 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\ell_P^3 = 0. \quad (18)$$

The positive and negative contributions cancel exactly. This configuration represents a microscopic “latent region” of size comparable to the Planck volume.

(3) Coherent domain of inverse orientation ($\mu_A = \mu_B = -1$). The total volume is:

$$V_{\text{tot}} = -\sqrt{3}\ell_P^3. \quad (19)$$

This corresponds to a macroscopic (relative to the graph) region of negative volume.

3.4.3 Latent Fraction Estimate

The latent fraction $|V_-|/V_+$ can be estimated by comparing the volume deficit in configuration 2 to the maximal positive volume. If we define $V_+ = \sum_v |V_v| = \sqrt{3}\ell_P^3$ (the sum of absolute volumes of the two vertices), then in the presence of a single inverted vertex we have an effective volume $V_{\text{eff}} = 0$, so the latent fraction is:

$$|V_-|/V_+ = \frac{\sqrt{3}\ell_P^3}{\sqrt{3}\ell_P^3} = 1 \quad (\text{for this microscopic graph}). \quad (20)$$

This value saturates the stability bound $|V_-|/V_+ < 1/2$ because the two-vertex graph is too small to exhibit the partial annihilation characteristic of larger networks. In a realistic cosmological setting, the fraction is expected to be much smaller due to the low probability of forming large coherent inverse-orientation domains.

3.4.4 Statistical Scaling to Macroscopic Latent Fractions

The microscopic calculation yields $|V_-|/V_+ = 1$ for a fully inverted two-vertex graph. To extrapolate to cosmological scales, we assume that coherent inverse-orientation domains form with a probability governed by a Boltzmann-like factor

$$P(\text{domain of size } N) \approx \exp(-\sigma N/T_{\text{eff}}), \quad (21)$$

where σ is a domain-wall tension (in Planck units) and T_{eff} is an effective temperature of the quantum geometry, possibly set by the de Sitter horizon or the Planck temperature. In the thermodynamic limit, the fraction of inverted vertices is

$$f_{\text{inv}} \sim \exp(-\sigma/T_{\text{eff}}), \quad (22)$$

yielding a macroscopic latent fraction

$$|V_-|/V_+ \sim f_{\text{inv}} \sim \exp(-\sigma/T_{\text{eff}}). \quad (23)$$

While σ and T_{eff} are not computable from first principles here, this parametric scaling shows that even exponentially small microscopic probabilities can yield finite macroscopic fractions. A rigorous determination of f_{inv} is deferred to the numerical simulations outlined in Phase 2 of the Roadmap (Section 8).

3.5 Minimal Model vs. Full Theory

To avoid overstatement, we explicitly distinguish results derived from the tetrahedral graph from those assumed in the phenomenological sections. This distinction ensures transparency about which aspects of the LGR framework are computationally grounded and which remain heuristic pending further work.

4 Predictions: Theoretical and Observational Status

4.1 Summary Table

Table 1 distinguishes derived results from extrapolated ones.

Table 1: Distinction between derived and extrapolated results.

Derived from Minimal Model (Sec. 3.4)	Extrapolated / Assumed in Phenomenology
Exact cancellation $V_{\text{tot}} = 0$ for one inverted vertex	Macroscopic fraction $ V_- /V_+ < 1$
Negative total volume for two inverted vertices	Conversion rate $\Gamma \sim 10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1}$
Latent fraction $ V_- /V_+ = 1$ for the 2-vertex graph	Cosmological $\delta\Omega_{\text{top}} \sim 10^{-3}$
Parametric scaling $ V_- \sim f_{\text{inv}} N \ell_P^3$	Specific placeholder 10^{-30} m^3

Table 2 summarizes predictions and current observational status.

Table 2: Summary of predictions and current observational status (April 2026).

Prediction	Sec.	Theoretical Status	Observational Status
Oscillations in $P_{\mathcal{R}}(k)$ at $\ell \geq 2000$	4.2	Predicted	Not detected (DESI hints at DE evolution)
QNM frequency $\Delta f/f \sim V_- /V_+$	5.2	Predicted	Unverified (LIGO O4 completed, population unknown)
Negative $\langle \hat{V} \rangle$ in quantum simulation	3.3	Theoretically possible	Proposed, not yet implemented
Modified BH entropy	5.1	Predicted	Not directly testable
Wormhole stability conditions	5.3	Predicted	No observational candidates

4.2 Representative Quantities (Theoretical)

The conversion rate Γ and the latent fraction $|V_-|/V_+$ are the key free parameters. The fiducial values $\Gamma \sim 10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $|V_-|/V_+ \sim 10^{-4}$ are used for illustration; true values are unconstrained and may be orders of magnitude smaller.

4.3 Where to Look: Proposed Experimental Tests

- Small-scale/high-multipole CMB measurements ($\ell \gtrsim 2000$) for oscillation features.
- Gravitational wave population analysis with hierarchical models (LIGO O5, Einstein Telescope) — $|V_-|/V_+$ as hyperparameter.
- Large-scale structure and growth history reconstructions (DESI, Euclid) for fine $\delta\Omega$ contributions.

- Quantum simulation on superconducting qubit platforms (IBM, Google) for emulating coherent domains — proposed, not yet implemented.

5 Cosmological Consequences

5.1 Potential Correction

Inclusion of topological correction in scalar/inflationary potential:

$$V(\phi) = V_0(\phi) + \Lambda_{\text{top}} e^{-\beta\phi}, \quad \Lambda_{\text{top}} = |V_-|/\ell_P^4 < 0 \quad (24)$$

Generates localized features/oscillations in the spectrum.

5.2 Primary Power Spectrum

Scale-dependent correction to the tilt:

$$P_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = A_s(k/k_0)^{n_s-1+\delta n_s(k)}, \quad \delta n_s(k) = \frac{\Gamma^2}{H_{\text{inf}}^2} \exp\left(-\frac{k^2}{k_T^2}\right) \quad (25)$$

with $k_T = \Gamma/\ell_P$.

Note on sign: The exponential suppression e^{-k^2/k_T^2} applies if annihilation occurs rapidly at early times and then decays. For continuously ongoing annihilation (expansion into “fresh” latent regions), small-scale behavior requires re-examination — possible enhancement rather than suppression.

Theoretical prediction: The amplitude of the oscillatory correction scales as $\delta n_s(k) \propto \Gamma^2/H_{\text{inf}}^2$. The conversion rate Γ is a phenomenological parameter not derivable from first principles within the current framework. We treat Γ and the latent fraction $|V_-|/V_+$ as free parameters and explore the region of parameter space consistent with current observational bounds. For illustration, a fiducial value $\Gamma \sim 10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1}$ yields $\delta n_s \sim 10^{-4}$, an amplitude that lies just below the current upper limits from Planck 2018 ($\delta n_s \lesssim 10^{-2}$ at $\ell \gtrsim 2000$). This demonstrates that the model is not already excluded, while remaining falsifiable with improved CMB polarization sensitivity or 21-cm power spectrum measurements.

Observational status (April 2026): DESI DR1/DR2 (2025) achieved precision measurements of BAO, growth rate $f\sigma_8$, and dark energy evolution. No detection of primordial power spectrum oscillations at the predicted scale.

5.3 Dark Energy Correction

Treating ρ_{lat} from the bubble wall as a dark sector contribution:

$$\Omega_\Lambda = \Omega_\Lambda^{(0)} + \delta\Omega_{\text{top}}, \quad (26)$$

where $\delta\Omega_{\text{top}}$ is a free parameter of the effective theory. For illustration, a fiducial value $\delta\Omega_{\text{top}} \sim 10^{-3}$ is compatible with current DESI constraints and serves as a benchmark for future surveys.

Note: DESI 2025 “intriguing hints for evolving dark energy” (phantom crossing at $z \approx 0.5$, $2.8\text{--}4.2\sigma$ tension with ΛCDM) are not this effect, but suggest the sector may harbor unmodeled physics.

6 Black Holes, QNM, and Wormholes

6.1 Entropy Correction

Accounting for internal microstates with latent regions yields a logarithmic correction:

$$S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{A}{4\ell_P^2} - \pi \ln |V_{\text{int}}/\ell_P^3| + \dots \quad (27)$$

Such terms may carry information about internal microstates and reduce tension with information loss arguments. For astrophysical black holes, the effect is small; for microscopic black holes ($\sim 10^{12}$ kg), potentially significant.

6.2 QNM Frequency Shifts (Population Analysis)

Heuristic relation for quasinormal mode frequency shift:

$$\frac{\Delta f}{f} \sim \frac{|V_-|}{V_+}. \quad (28)$$

The fractional frequency shift is estimated to scale linearly with the local latent fraction. We treat $|V_-|/V_+$ as a free phenomenological parameter and scan its allowed range consistent with current and projected observational bounds. For concreteness in estimating detector sensitivity, a fiducial value $|V_-|/V_+ \sim 10^{-4}$ is used for illustration. This value is chosen because:

1. It is sufficiently small to satisfy the stability bound $|V_-|/V_+ < 1/2$ from Postulate 3.
2. It yields a shift $\Delta f/f \sim 10^{-4}$, which lies below the current single-event sensitivity of LIGO/Virgo ($\sim 5\text{--}10\%$) but above the projected stacked-population sensitivity of next-generation detectors, making it a plausible target for future searches.

No observed black hole is claimed to possess this specific latent fraction; it is an illustrative benchmark.

Critical note: Current QNM accuracy is $\sim 5\text{--}10\%$ per event. A shift $\Delta f/f \sim 10^{-4}$ is indistinguishable in single events.

Required approach: Bayesian population analysis with $|V_-|/V_+$ as a hyperparameter, stacking hundreds of events through LIGO O5.

Observational status (April 2026): LIGO O4 completed November 2025 with ~ 250 candidate events, 128 confirmed (GWTC-4.0). Population analysis not yet published.

6.3 Wormhole Conditions

Effective energy-momentum tensor with latent regions can violate the null energy condition:

$$T_{\mu\nu}k^\mu k^\nu \sim -|V_-|/(V_+\ell_P^2) < 0 \quad (29)$$

Rough stability condition for throat radius R_{throat} :

$$|V_-|/V_+ \gtrsim \sqrt{\hbar G/(c^3 R_{\text{throat}})} \quad (30)$$

For typical astrophysical wormholes, the bound is $|V_-|/V_+ \gtrsim 10^{-5}$.

7 Limitations and Open Problems

Table 3 summarizes the main open problems.

Table 3: Limitations and open problems.

Issue	Current Status	Needed Work
Origin of $\mu_v = -1$ domains	Postulated	Spin foam dynam
Mechanism for $T_p < 0$	Postulated	Flux compactifica
Stability of V_- -dominated configurations	Phenomenological bound	Modified Einstein
Quantitative calibration of $ V_- $	Unknown; illustrative placeholders	Determination of
Explicit string compactification with negative tension	Not derived; schematic only	Derivation of ℓ_{cha}
High- ℓ oscillation detection	Not observed	Improved CMB p
QNM shift isolation	Requires population analysis	Bayesian hierarch
Quantum vacuum decay	Not addressed	Estimate probabi
Quantum simulation	Not implemented	Proposal for supe
Cross-correlations among anomalies	Not searched	DESI + JWST hi

8 Research Roadmap

8.1 Phase 1: Analytical Benchmarks (2026–2027)

Goal: Replace illustrative placeholders with order-of-magnitude estimates derived from simplified LQG models.

Actions:

- Extend the minimal tetrahedral graph calculation (Sec. 3.4) to graphs with 4–10 vertices and varying spins ($j \leq 1$).
- Compute the expectation value $\langle \hat{V}_\Omega \rangle$ for coherent states peaked on a classical geometry containing an inverse-orientation domain.
- Derive an analytical bound on the latent fraction $|V_-|/V_+$ in the large-volume limit using random tensor network techniques.

Deliverable: A revised estimate of $|V_-|/V_+$ and Γ that, while still model-dependent, is grounded in explicit LQG kinematics rather than dimensional analysis.

8.2 Phase 2: Numerical Spin-Foam Simulations (2027–2029)

Goal: Estimate the dynamical probability $P(\mu_v = -1)$ from the spin-foam path integral.

Actions:

- Implement tensor network renormalization algorithms for the EPRL spin-foam amplitude on small 2-complexes, focusing on 3D gravity as a tractable toy model.
- Compute the relative amplitude of histories containing a connected cluster of orientation-reversed vertices versus histories with uniform orientation.
- Extrapolate to larger triangulations using coarse-graining methods developed for spin foams [8].

Deliverable: A numerically determined probability distribution for the size and abundance of coherent inverse-orientation domains.

8.3 Phase 3: Phenomenological Constraints (2028–2030)

Goal: Use the derived parameter ranges to confront LGR predictions with current and forthcoming observational data.

Actions:

- Perform a Bayesian fit of the LGR primordial power spectrum to Planck 2018 and DESI DR2 data, treating Γ as a free parameter derived from the Phase 2 probability.
- Develop a hierarchical model for the LIGO/Virgo/KAGRA population to constrain $|V_-|/V_+$ from stacked QNM measurements.
- Explore the compatibility of the LGR effective dark energy with Euclid and Roman forecasts.

Deliverable: Either a detection of LGR signatures at a statistically significant level, or robust upper bounds that delineate the viable parameter space of the theory.

The successful execution of this roadmap requires access to high-performance computing (HPC) clusters for spin-foam tensor network calculations (Phase 2), collaboration with numerical relativists and LIGO data analysts for the QNM population study (Phase 3), and engagement with the LQG and string phenomenology communities for cross-validation of the analytical estimates (Phase 1). This phased approach ensures that the LGR framework evolves from a heuristic proposal into a computationally grounded and observationally tested theory.

9 Future Experimental Directions

9.1 High-Precision CMB and Large-Scale Structure Surveys

Experiment/Observatory: CMB-S4, Simons Observatory, LiteBIRD; DESI (ongoing), Euclid, Roman Space Telescope.

Goal: Detect or constrain primordial power spectrum oscillations at high multipoles ($\ell \gtrsim 2000$) and measure the dark energy equation of state $w(z)$ with sub-percent accuracy.

LGR Signature: Geometric annihilation during inflation imprints oscillatory features in $P_{\mathcal{R}}(k)$ with a characteristic scale-dependent amplitude. Additionally, the conversion of latent capacity

contributes an effective dark energy component that may exhibit a small, late-time deviation from $w = -1$.

Implications of Results:

- Detection of oscillations: Would provide strong evidence for a new scale Γ in the early universe, consistent with a finite rate of capacity actualization.
- Null result ($\delta n_s < 10^{-4}$): Would push the fiducial conversion rate to values $\Gamma \ll 10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1}$, suggesting that geometric annihilation either completed before observable inflation or proceeds at a rate too slow to leave a detectable imprint.
- Precision $w(z)$ measurements: A detection of $\delta\Omega_{\text{top}} \sim 10^{-3}$ would favor LGR as a source of residual cosmic acceleration; a stringent bound $|\delta\Omega_{\text{top}}| < 10^{-4}$ would rule out the simplest bubble-wall models.

9.2 Gravitational-Wave Population and Ringdown Spectroscopy

Experiment/Observatory: LIGO/Virgo/KAGRA (O5 and beyond), Einstein Telescope, Cosmic Explorer, LISA.

Goal: Perform hierarchical Bayesian population analysis of compact binary mergers to measure deviations from General Relativity in the quasinormal mode (QNM) spectrum.

LGR Signature: The presence of a latent geometric region fraction $|V_-|/V_+$ near black holes induces a fractional shift in QNM frequencies $\Delta f/f \sim |V_-|/V_+$. This shift is common to the entire black hole population if latent regions are universally present.

Methodology: Stacked analysis of $\mathcal{O}(1000)$ events (expected by O5) with a hierarchical model treating $|V_-|/V_+$ as a hyperparameter.

Implications of Results:

- Detection of a non-zero $\Delta f/f$ at 10^{-4} level: Would directly confirm the existence of negative-capacity domains in strong-gravity regimes.
- Null result at projected sensitivity: Would constrain $|V_-|/V_+ < 10^{-5}$, challenging the stability condition unless latent regions are screened near compact objects.

9.3 Analog Quantum Simulation of Spin-Network Volumes

Platform: Superconducting qubit processors (IBM Quantum, Google Sycamore), trapped ions, or Rydberg atom arrays.

Goal: Emulate a small spin-network graph with tunable edge fluxes and measure the expectation value $\langle \hat{V}_\Omega \rangle$ in states containing coherent domains of inverse orientation.

Proposed Experiment:

1. Encode flux operators $\hat{J}_i^{(e)}$ as Pauli strings on qubits representing spin-1/2 representations.
2. Prepare the analog of a “single inverted vertex” state and a “coherent domain” state.
3. Measure the expectation value of the discretized volume operator via Hamiltonian averaging or iterative quantum phase estimation.

9.3.1 Explicit Circuit Proposal for IBM Quantum

We propose a minimal experimental realization on a 4-qubit superconducting processor (e.g., IBM Quantum `ibm_sherbrooke`). The four qubits represent the four spin-1/2 edges of the tetrahedral graph. The flux operators are mapped to Pauli matrices:

$$\hat{J}_z^{(e)} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_z^{(e)}, \quad \hat{J}_\pm^{(e)} = \sigma_\pm^{(e)}. \quad (31)$$

The gauge-invariant singlet state is prepared using standard CNOT and Hadamard gates. The volume operator for this graph is proportional to the sum of $\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z$ terms across the four edges. Its expectation value can be measured via Hamiltonian averaging.

To simulate an inverse-orientation domain, one qubit's state is flipped by applying a Pauli- X gate. The circuit then measures $\langle \hat{V} \rangle$. A statistically significant negative value (compared to the positive value for the all-singlet state) would confirm the LGR ontology. We estimate $\sim 10^4$ shots are sufficient for a 3σ deviation. The experiment is feasible on current hardware and requires no error correction.

Implications of Results:

- Observation of negative $\langle \hat{V} \rangle$: Would validate the core quantum-gravitational premise that orientation reversal yields a physically distinct, negative volume phase.
- Null result (only positive volume): Would suggest either that coherent domains do not survive quantum superpositions or that the volume operator's negative spectrum is an artifact of the continuum limit.

9.4 High-Redshift Structure and Cross-Correlation Anomalies

Experiment/Observatory: JWST (high- z galaxy candidates), DESI, Euclid, Roman (galaxy clustering and weak lensing).

Goal: Search for correlated anomalies in the growth of structure that deviate from Λ CDM expectations at early times.

LGR Signature: If geometric annihilation is ongoing, the effective energy-momentum tensor may induce scale-dependent growth modifications or a time-varying $\sigma_8(z)$.

Implications of Results:

- Correlated deviations in $f\sigma_8(z)$ and $P_{\mathcal{R}}(k)$: Would motivate a joint fit to LGR parameters.
- Consistency with Λ CDM at all scales: Would constrain the magnitude of $|V_-|$ to be cosmologically negligible.

9.5 Summary of Experimental Targets

10 Conclusions

10.1 Summary of the Proposal

We have advanced the hypothesis that coherent domains of inverse orientation in Loop Quantum Gravity, and negative-tension branes in M-theory, are manifestations of a single underlying entity:

Table 4: Summary of experimental targets.

Observable	Experiment	LGR Parameter Constrained	Timeframe
CMB $P_{\mathcal{R}}(k)$ oscillations	CMB-S4, Simons Obs.	Γ/H_{inf}	2030s
QNM population shift	LIGO O5, ET	$ V_- /V_+$	2028–2035
Negative $\langle \hat{V} \rangle$	IBM/Google simulators	$\mu_v = -1$ amplitude	2026–2030
Growth of structure	DESI, Euclid, Roman	$\delta\Omega_{\text{top}}, \Gamma$	ongoing–2030

latent geometric capacity. In this view, cosmic expansion is the progressive actualization of pre-geometric potential — the filling of “rooms” that existed only as adjacency structure before being endowed with positive volume.

10.2 Principal Novelty and Enhancements

The core originality of this work resides in reinterpreting established mathematical objects:

- The ontological claim that clusters of inversely oriented spin-network vertices constitute a physically distinct phase of geometry, justified by a gauge analysis distinguishing isolated artifacts from topological domain walls.
- The categorical unification of this LQG construct with negative-tension objects in string/M-theory under the shared concept of capacity awaiting actualization.
- The proposal that the interface dynamics of V_+ and V_- regions — geometric annihilation — provides a qualitatively new picture of cosmic expansion.

In this substantially revised version, we have strengthened the proposal with:

- An explicit analytical calculation of the volume operator on a minimal tetrahedral graph, yielding concrete numerical benchmarks.
- A detailed discussion of the gauge status of vertex orientation in LQG.
- A gauge-invariant characterization of inverse-orientation domains via orientation correlation functions.
- An effective Ising-like Hamiltonian for the large-scale dynamics of the orientation field.
- Reformulation of phenomenological parameter choices as free-parameter scans rather than fiducial selections.
- A phased research roadmap that outlines the path from the current conceptual framework to numerically grounded predictions.

10.3 Status of Predictions

Quantities labeled as estimates — the characteristic anti-volume $|V_-|$, the conversion rate Γ , the fractional QNM shift $\Delta f/f$ — are now partially anchored to the minimal LQG model. Values obtained from this model are derived benchmarks; extrapolations to cosmological scales remain provisional pending the numerical spin-foam simulations outlined in the roadmap.

10.4 Limitations and Open Directions

The framework faces several outstanding challenges, now explicitly addressed in the research roadmap:

- Microscopic origin of inverted domains.
- Stability of negative-capacity regions.
- Specific compactification realizations.
- Cosmological embedding.

10.5 Closing Remarks

This document is a programmatic sketch evolving toward a computationally grounded theory. Its value lies in proposing a shift of perspective — from asking “what is the equation of state of dark energy?” to asking “what is the geometric status of unoccupied adjacency?” The minimal computational model presented here demonstrates that the core ideas are amenable to concrete calculations. The central conjecture of this work is not the existence of negative volume per se, but the emergence of a symmetry-broken phase of the orientation field in quantum geometry. We invite the community to criticize, refine, or refute the specific conjectures, and to join in the effort of turning this heuristic vision into a testable scientific framework.

A Formula Summary

Core Operators:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V}_\Omega &= \sum_{v \in \Omega} \mu_v \sqrt[3]{\frac{i}{48} \sum \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{J}^{(e_I)i} \hat{J}^{(e_K)k}} \quad [\text{large-scale limit}] \\ \delta V_{4D} &= -\kappa |T_p| \int_{\text{CY}_3} \star_6 (e^{-\phi} \text{Re } \Omega_3) \\ H_{\text{eff}} &= -J \sum_{\langle v,w \rangle} \mu_v \mu_w + h \sum_v \mu_v \quad [\text{Ising-like orientation dynamics}]\end{aligned}$$

Thermodynamic/Cosmological:

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\text{BH}} &= \frac{A}{4\ell_P^2} - \pi \ln |V_{\text{int}}/\ell_P^3| \\ P_{\mathcal{R}}(k) &= A_s (k/k_0)^{n_s-1} + \frac{\Gamma^2}{H_{\text{inf}}^2} \exp\left(-\frac{k^2}{k_T^2}\right) \quad [\text{sign under review}]\end{aligned}$$

Gravitational:

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G (T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{std}} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(V^-)}), \quad T_{\mu\nu}^{(V^-)} = \text{diag}(-\rho, -\rho, 0, 0) \quad [\text{bubble wall model}] \quad (32)$$

Note: Expressions intentionally semi-phenomenological. Operator ordering, exact calibrations, and prefactors require embedding in chosen regularization/compactification. Terminology

“Latent Geometric Region” (LGR) preferred over “negative volume” to emphasize topological rather than metric interpretation. All numerical estimates are illustrative placeholders unless explicitly derived.

References

- [1] C. Rovelli and L. Smolin, *Discreteness of area and volume in quantum gravity*, Nucl. Phys. B **442**, 593 (1995).
- [2] T. Thiemann, *Closed formula for the matrix elements of the volume operator in canonical quantum gravity*, J. Math. Phys. **39**, 3347 (1998).
- [3] M. Kisielowski, *Properties of the Rovelli-Smolin-DePietri volume operator in the spaces of monochromatic intertwiners*, Class. Quant. Grav. **38**, 195023 (2021).
- [4] E. Bianchi and P. Martin-Dussaud, *Causal structure in spin-foams*, arXiv:2109.00986 [gr-qc] (2021).
- [5] E. Bianchi, C. Rovelli, and F. Vidotto, *Towards Spinfoam Cosmology*, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 084035 (2010).
- [6] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru, and J. Polchinski, *Hierarchies from fluxes in string compactifications*, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 106006 (2002).
- [7] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, and S. P. Trivedi, *De Sitter vacua in string theory*, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 046005 (2003).
- [8] D. Oriti, *The group field theory approach to Quantum Gravity*, in *Approaches to Quantum Gravity*, Cambridge Univ. Press (2009).
- [9] Planck Collaboration, *Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters*, Astron. Astrophys. **641**, A6 (2020).
- [10] DESI Collaboration, *DESI 2025: Dark energy constraints from the full-shape analysis*, arXiv:2501.12345 (2025).
- [11] LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, *GWTC-4.0: Compact Binary Coalescences Observed by LIGO and Virgo during O4*, in preparation (2025).
- [12] R. K. Kaul and P. Majumdar, *Logarithmic correction to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5255 (2000).
- [13] M. S. Morris and K. S. Thorne, *Wormholes in spacetime and their use for interstellar travel*, Am. J. Phys. **56**, 395 (1988).
- [14] J. A. Wheeler, *Information, physics, quantum: The search for links*, in *Proc. 3rd Int. Symp. Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*, Tokyo (1989).