

R-layer Theory: Gravity as the Geometric Deformation of the Right-handed Outer Layer

Tsuyoshi¹

¹Independent Researcher, Japan

March 17, 2026

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Physical Motivation: Right-handed Neutrinos and the Outer Layer	2
3	Static R-layer Theory	3
4	Nonlinear R-layer Theory and the MOND Limit	4
5	Cosmological Scaling of the Acceleration Scale	5
6	Dynamic R-layer Equation in an Expanding Universe	6
7	Observational Predictions and Tests	6
7.1	Universal Transition Acceleration	7
7.2	Asymptotic $1/r$ Behavior in Galaxy Outskirts	7
7.3	Scale-dependent Deviations from GR	7
7.4	Redshift Evolution of the Acceleration Scale	7
7.5	Dark Energy as R-layer Tension	7
7.6	Non-detection of Right-handed Neutrinos	7
7.7	Left-to-right Neutrino Mode Conversion	8
A	Derivation of the Static Equation	8
B	Spherical Solutions	8
C	Nonlinear Variation	9
D	FRW Background Transformations	9
E	Cosmological Derivation of $a_0(t)$	10

1 Introduction

Gravity remains one of the most conceptually profound and empirically challenging interactions in modern physics. General Relativity (GR) provides an elegant geometric description of gravity and has been tested with remarkable precision across a wide range of scales. Yet, when applied to galactic dynamics, GR combined with the Standard Model of particle physics fails to account for the observed motions of stars and gas unless one postulates the existence of non-baryonic dark matter.

Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) offers a strikingly successful phenomenological description of low-acceleration galactic systems. However, MOND lacks a fundamental physical origin, and it provides no explanation for the long-standing coincidence

$$a_0 \approx cH_0,$$

which suggests a deep connection between galactic dynamics and cosmology. This coincidence has remained one of the central unresolved puzzles in alternative gravity theories.

In this work, we propose a new gravitational framework in which gravity emerges from the geometric deformation of a physical outer layer composed of right-handed neutrino modes—the **R-layer**. This layer possesses tension, thickness, and bending rigidity, and its deformation encodes the gravitational potential experienced by matter in the inner universe. The R-layer responds linearly at high accelerations, reproducing Newtonian gravity, and nonlinearly at low accelerations, giving rise to MOND-like behavior without invoking particle dark matter.

A key result of this framework is that the MOND acceleration scale a_0 arises naturally from the intrinsic parameters of the R-layer and scales dynamically with the Hubble parameter:

$$a_0(t) \sim cH(t),$$

thereby resolving the coincidence problem as a cosmological necessity rather than an empirical accident.

This theory provides a unified geometric origin for gravity, the MOND acceleration scale, and the effective cosmological constant. It also yields observational predictions spanning galactic dynamics, large-scale structure, cosmology, and neutrino physics.

The structure of this paper is as follows. Section 2 introduces the physical motivation for the R-layer. Section 3 develops the static R-layer theory. Section 4 derives the nonlinear R-layer equation and the MOND limit. Section 5 explains the cosmological scaling of the acceleration scale. Section 6 presents the dynamical R-layer equation in an expanding universe. Section 7 outlines observational predictions and tests. Appendices provide detailed mathematical derivations.

Observations of the cosmic microwave background support the Λ CDM model [1].

2 Physical Motivation: Right-handed Neutrinos and the Outer Layer

The Standard Model exhibits a striking asymmetry between left-handed and right-handed neutrino modes. Left-handed neutrinos participate in the weak interaction and remain dynamically coupled to the thermal history of the early universe. Right-handed neutrinos, in contrast, do not couple to any Standard Model gauge forces and therefore decouple almost immediately after their creation.

This asymmetry suggests a natural geometric interpretation: left-handed modes remain confined within the interacting “inner universe,” while right-handed modes propagate freely and accumulate in an outer region that does not participate in the familiar gauge interactions. We identify this accumulated structure as the **R-layer**.

The R-layer is a physical, extended layer surrounding the inner universe. Its existence follows from three considerations:

The idea of emergent gravitational behavior has been explored in various contexts [2].

- Right-handed neutrinos do not interact electromagnetically, weakly, or strongly.
- Their early decoupling allows them to drift outward relative to the interacting plasma.
- The outermost accumulation forms a coherent layer with mechanical properties: tension σ_R , thickness d_R , and bending rigidity K_R .

Matter in the inner universe exerts an effective pull on this layer, causing it to deform. This deformation is perceived from the inside as gravitational potential. Thus, gravity emerges not as curvature of spacetime in the traditional sense, but as the visible imprint of the R-layer's geometric response.

This viewpoint explains:

- the weakness of gravity,
- the scale dependence of gravitational phenomena,
- the emergence of MOND-like behavior at low accelerations,
- the cosmological nature of the MOND acceleration scale.

3 Static R-layer Theory

In this section, we develop the static formulation of the R-layer and show how its geometric deformation gives rise to the gravitational potential experienced by matter in the inner universe. The R-layer is modeled as a two-dimensional elastic surface with tension, bending rigidity, and a coupling to the baryonic mass distribution.

Let $\zeta(\mathbf{x})$ denote the displacement of the R-layer in the direction normal to its equilibrium configuration. The static energy functional of the R-layer is given by

$$E_R[\zeta] = \int d^2x \left[\frac{\sigma_R}{2} (\nabla\zeta)^2 + \frac{K_R}{2} (\nabla^2\zeta)^2 - \alpha\rho_m(\mathbf{x})\zeta(\mathbf{x}) \right], \quad (1)$$

where

- σ_R is the tension of the R-layer,
- K_R is its bending rigidity,
- $\rho_m(\mathbf{x})$ is the baryonic mass density in the inner universe,
- α is a coupling constant.

The first term represents the stretching energy, the second term the bending energy, and the third term the coupling to matter. The equilibrium configuration is obtained by minimizing the energy functional:

$$\frac{\delta E_R}{\delta \zeta} = 0.$$

Carrying out the variation yields the static R-layer equation:

$$K_R \nabla^4 \zeta - \sigma_R \nabla^2 \zeta = \alpha \rho_m. \quad (2)$$

The gravitational potential Φ experienced by matter in the inner universe is proportional to the deformation of the R-layer:

$$\Phi = \beta \zeta,$$

where β is a constant determined by the geometric coupling between the inner universe and the R-layer.

Substituting this relation into Eq. (2), we obtain the static gravitational equation:

$$K_R \nabla^4 \Phi - \sigma_R \nabla^2 \Phi = \tilde{\alpha} \rho_m, \quad (3)$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha/\beta$.

This equation describes a modified gravitational potential with both Newtonian and Yukawa-like components. In the limit where the bending term is negligible ($K_R \rightarrow 0$), the equation reduces to the Poisson equation:

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = -\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\sigma_R} \rho_m,$$

recovering Newtonian gravity with an effective gravitational constant.

The full equation, however, contains higher-order derivatives that become important at large scales or low accelerations. These terms play a crucial role in the emergence of MOND-like behavior, as discussed in the next section.

4 Nonlinear R-layer Theory and the MOND Limit

The static linear R-layer equation reproduces Newtonian gravity at high accelerations but does not yet account for the observed MOND-like behavior in the low-acceleration regime. To obtain this behavior, we must incorporate the nonlinear response of the R-layer to large deformations.

We generalize the stretching energy by replacing the quadratic term with a nonlinear function:

$$E_R[\zeta] = \int d^2x \left[\sigma_R \mathcal{F}(|\nabla\zeta|) + \frac{K_R}{2} (\nabla^2 \zeta)^2 - \alpha \rho_m \zeta \right], \quad (4)$$

where $\mathcal{F}(x)$ is a monotonically increasing function satisfying:

$$\mathcal{F}(x) \approx \frac{x^2}{2} \quad (x \gg x_0), \quad \mathcal{F}(x) \approx x \quad (x \ll x_0).$$

The first limit ensures recovery of the linear theory at high accelerations, while the second limit produces a non-quadratic response at low accelerations.

Varying the energy functional yields the nonlinear R-layer equation:

$$\nabla \cdot \left[\sigma_R \mathcal{F}'(|\nabla\zeta|) \frac{\nabla\zeta}{|\nabla\zeta|} \right] + K_R \nabla^4 \zeta = \alpha \rho_m. \quad (5)$$

Using $\Phi = \beta \zeta$, we obtain the nonlinear gravitational equation:

$$\nabla \cdot \left[\mu \left(\frac{|\nabla\Phi|}{a_0} \right) \nabla\Phi \right] = 4\pi G \rho_m, \quad (6)$$

where the MOND interpolation function is identified as

$$\mu(x) = \mathcal{F}'(x),$$

and the acceleration scale is

$$a_0 = \frac{\sigma_R}{\rho_R L_R}.$$

In the deep-MOND regime ($|\nabla\Phi| \ll a_0$), the equation reduces to

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{|\nabla\Phi|}{a_0} \nabla\Phi \right) = 4\pi G \rho_m,$$

which yields the MOND acceleration law:

$$a(r) = \frac{\sqrt{GMa_0}}{r}.$$

Thus, MOND emerges naturally from the nonlinear mechanics of the R-layer, without requiring dark matter or ad hoc modifications of Newtonian dynamics.

The MOND phenomenology is well established observationally [3].

5 Cosmological Scaling of the Acceleration Scale

A key empirical feature of MOND is the numerical coincidence

$$a_0 \approx cH_0,$$

suggesting a deep connection between galactic dynamics and cosmology. In the R-layer framework, this relation arises naturally from the cosmological evolution of the R-layer's mechanical parameters.

The acceleration scale is given by

$$a_0(t) = \frac{\sigma_R(t)}{\rho_R(t)L_R(t)}.$$

To determine its time dependence, we use the cosmological scalings:

$$\rho_R(t) \sim \frac{3H(t)^2}{8\pi G}, \quad L_R(t) \sim \frac{c}{H(t)}.$$

Substituting these into the expression for $a_0(t)$, we obtain:

$$a_0(t) \sim \frac{\sigma_R(t)}{\left(\frac{3H(t)^2}{8\pi G}\right) \left(\frac{c}{H(t)}\right)} = \frac{8\pi G}{3c} \frac{\sigma_R(t)}{H(t)}. \quad (7)$$

If the R-layer tension scales proportionally to the Hubble parameter:

$$\sigma_R(t) \propto H(t),$$

then the acceleration scale becomes:

$$a_0(t) \sim cH(t).$$

This result explains the observed coincidence $a_0 \approx cH_0$ as a natural consequence of the cosmological evolution of the R-layer. The acceleration scale is not a fixed constant but a dynamical quantity tied to the expansion of the universe.

This scaling has important observational implications, including the prediction that galaxies at higher redshift should exhibit larger effective acceleration scales, as discussed in Section 7.

6 Dynamic R-layer Equation in an Expanding Universe

We now extend the R-layer theory to an expanding cosmological background. The inner universe is described by comoving coordinates \mathbf{x} , while physical coordinates are given by

$$\mathbf{r} = a(t)\mathbf{x},$$

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor.

Spatial derivatives transform as:

$$\nabla_r = \frac{1}{a(t)}\nabla_x, \quad \nabla_r^2 = \frac{1}{a(t)^2}\nabla_x^2.$$

Time derivatives transform as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + H(t)\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla_x,$$

where $H(t) = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter.

The second derivative becomes:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2} \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2} + 3H(t)\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + \mathcal{O}(H^2).$$

Applying these transformations to the dynamical R-layer equation yields:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2} + 3H(t)\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} - c_R^2 \nabla^2 \Phi + L_R^2 \nabla^4 \Phi = S(\rho_m), \quad (8)$$

where

$$c_R^2 = \frac{\sigma_R}{\rho_R}, \quad L_R^2 = \frac{K_R}{\sigma_R},$$

and $S(\rho_m)$ is the source term proportional to the baryonic density.

This equation describes the propagation and damping of R-layer deformations in an expanding universe. The term $3H(t)\partial_t \Phi$ acts as a cosmological friction, suppressing oscillations of the R-layer at late times.

In the quasi-static limit ($\partial_t \Phi \approx 0$), the equation reduces to the static form discussed in Sections 3 and 4. At cosmological scales, however, the dynamical terms become important and influence the evolution of the acceleration scale $a_0(t)$.

7 Observational Predictions and Tests

A viable theory of gravity must not only reproduce known phenomena but also make clear, falsifiable predictions. The R-layer framework satisfies this requirement by providing a unified explanation for galactic dynamics, cosmology, and neutrino physics, while yielding several observational signatures that distinguish it from both MOND and the standard Λ CDM paradigm.

We summarize the key predictions below.

7.1 Universal Transition Acceleration

The nonlinear R-layer equation predicts that the transition from Newtonian to MOND-like behavior occurs when the gravitational acceleration falls below the scale

$$a_0 \sim \frac{\sigma_R}{\rho_R L_R}.$$

Because the R-layer parameters scale cosmologically, this transition acceleration is universal across galaxies.

Prediction 1: All galaxies should exhibit a transition in their rotation curves at the same acceleration scale a_0 .

7.2 Asymptotic $1/r$ Behavior in Galaxy Outskirts

In the deep-MOND regime, the R-layer theory predicts:

$$a(r) = \frac{\sqrt{GMa_0}}{r},$$

leading to flat rotation curves.

Prediction 2: The outer regions of galaxies should follow a strict $1/r$ acceleration law.

7.3 Scale-dependent Deviations from GR

The linear R-layer equation contains a Yukawa-type correction with response length L_R , leading to scale-dependent deviations from GR.

Prediction 3: Large-scale structure should exhibit deviations from GR at scales comparable to L_R , while returning to GR behavior at very large scales.

7.4 Redshift Evolution of the Acceleration Scale

Because $a_0(t) \sim cH(t)$, galaxies at higher redshift should exhibit larger effective acceleration scales.

Prediction 4: The Tully–Fisher relation should evolve with redshift.

7.5 Dark Energy as R-layer Tension

If $\sigma_R(t) \propto H(t)$, the R-layer contributes an effective pressure that mimics a cosmological constant.

Prediction 5: The effective equation of state of dark energy should be close to $w = -1$, with small deviations reflecting the evolution of $\sigma_R(t)$.

7.6 Non-detection of Right-handed Neutrinos

Right-handed neutrinos do not couple to Standard Model forces and therefore remain undetectable in laboratory experiments.

Prediction 6: No direct detection of right-handed neutrinos should occur in beta decay, scattering, or cosmic neutrino background experiments.

7.7 Left-to-right Neutrino Mode Conversion

The R-layer theory predicts that left-handed neutrinos may convert into right-handed modes at extremely low energies.

Prediction 7: Low-energy neutrino fluxes should exhibit a small but measurable deficit.

These predictions span multiple observational domains, making the R-layer theory highly testable and falsifiable.

A Derivation of the Static Equation

In this appendix, we derive the static R-layer equation from the energy functional introduced in Section 3.

The static energy functional is

$$E_R[\zeta] = \int d^2x \left[\frac{\sigma_R}{2} (\nabla\zeta)^2 + \frac{K_R}{2} (\nabla^2\zeta)^2 - \alpha\rho_m(\mathbf{x})\zeta(\mathbf{x}) \right]. \quad (9)$$

To obtain the equilibrium configuration, we vary the functional with respect to ζ :

$$\frac{\delta E_R}{\delta\zeta} = 0.$$

The variation of each term is:

- Stretching term:

$$\delta \left[\frac{\sigma_R}{2} (\nabla\zeta)^2 \right] = -\sigma_R \nabla^2\zeta \delta\zeta.$$

- Bending term:

$$\delta \left[\frac{K_R}{2} (\nabla^2\zeta)^2 \right] = K_R \nabla^4\zeta \delta\zeta.$$

- Matter coupling term:

$$\delta [-\alpha\rho_m\zeta] = -\alpha\rho_m \delta\zeta.$$

Combining these contributions yields the static R-layer equation:

$$K_R \nabla^4\zeta - \sigma_R \nabla^2\zeta = \alpha\rho_m. \quad (10)$$

Using $\Phi = \beta\zeta$, we obtain the static gravitational equation in the main text.

B Spherical Solutions

We solve the static equation in spherical symmetry:

$$K_R \nabla^4\Phi - \sigma_R \nabla^2\Phi = 0.$$

Introduce the auxiliary function:

$$u(r) = \nabla^2\Phi(r).$$

Then the equation becomes:

$$K_R \nabla^2 u - \sigma_R u = 0.$$

In spherical symmetry:

$$\nabla^2 u = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{du}{dr} \right).$$

The solution is:

$$u(r) = A \frac{e^{-r/L_R}}{r}, \quad L_R = \sqrt{\frac{K_R}{\sigma_R}}.$$

Integrating twice gives the gravitational potential:

$$\Phi(r) = -\frac{GM}{r} + \gamma \frac{e^{-r/L_R}}{r} + \text{const.}$$

This reproduces Newtonian gravity with a Yukawa correction.

C Nonlinear Variation

The nonlinear energy functional is:

$$E_R[\zeta] = \int d^2x \left[\sigma_R \mathcal{F}(|\nabla\zeta|) + \frac{K_R}{2} (\nabla^2\zeta)^2 - \alpha \rho_m \zeta \right].$$

We compute the variation of the nonlinear term:

$$\delta [\mathcal{F}(|\nabla\zeta|)] = \mathcal{F}'(|\nabla\zeta|) \frac{\nabla\zeta \cdot \nabla(\delta\zeta)}{|\nabla\zeta|}.$$

Integrating by parts yields:

$$\nabla \cdot \left[\mathcal{F}'(|\nabla\zeta|) \frac{\nabla\zeta}{|\nabla\zeta|} \right].$$

Including the bending and matter terms, the full nonlinear equation becomes:

$$\nabla \cdot \left[\sigma_R \mathcal{F}'(|\nabla\zeta|) \frac{\nabla\zeta}{|\nabla\zeta|} \right] + K_R \nabla^4 \zeta = \alpha \rho_m.$$

Using $\Phi = \beta\zeta$, we obtain the nonlinear gravitational equation in the main text.

D FRW Background Transformations

We summarize the coordinate transformations used in Section 6.

Physical and comoving coordinates are related by:

$$\mathbf{r} = a(t)\mathbf{x}.$$

Spatial derivatives transform as:

$$\nabla_r = \frac{1}{a(t)} \nabla_x, \quad \nabla_r^2 = \frac{1}{a(t)^2} \nabla_x^2.$$

Time derivatives transform as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + H(t)\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla_x.$$

The second derivative becomes:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2} \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2} + 3H(t) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + \mathcal{O}(H^2).$$

These relations lead directly to the dynamical equation in the main text.

E Cosmological Derivation of $a_0(t)$

Starting from:

$$a_0(t) \sim \frac{\sigma_R(t)}{\rho_R(t)L_R(t)},$$

we substitute the cosmological scalings:

$$\rho_R(t) \sim \frac{3H(t)^2}{8\pi G}, \quad L_R(t) \sim \frac{c}{H(t)}.$$

This yields:

$$a_0(t) \sim \frac{\sigma_R(t)}{\left(\frac{3H(t)^2}{8\pi G}\right) \left(\frac{c}{H(t)}\right)} = \frac{8\pi G}{3c} \frac{\sigma_R(t)}{H(t)}.$$

If the R-layer tension satisfies:

$$\sigma_R(t) \propto H(t),$$

then:

$$a_0(t) \sim cH(t).$$

This completes the derivation of the cosmological scaling of the MOND acceleration scale.

References

- [1] Planck Collaboration. Planck 2018 results. vi. cosmological parameters. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 641:A6, 2020.
- [2] E. Verlinde. Emergent gravity and the dark universe. *SciPost Phys.*, 2:016, 2016.
- [3] M. Milgrom. A modification of the newtonian dynamics. *Astrophysical Journal*, 270:365, 1983.