The Physical Meaning of Time in Modern Physics

Dr. S. M. H. Emamifar

Independent Research Collaboration on Black Hole and Cosmology Concepts (IRCBHC)
ORCID: 0009-0007-6257-0163

Abstract

Time is one of the most fundamental yet conceptually subtle quantities in physics. While it appears as a parameter in many equations, its physical meaning depends on operational definitions involving clocks and spacetime geometry. This article presents a comprehensive conceptual and mathematical discussion of the nature of time in modern physics. We review the transition from Newtonian absolute time to relativistic spacetime, introduce proper time as the physically measured quantity along worldlines, discuss time dilation, analyze the thermodynamic arrow of time, and address common misconceptions. The goal is to clarify what time represents in physical theory and how it is measured in practice [9].

1 Introduction

Time is central to all physical theories. Classical mechanics treats time as an absolute and universal parameter that flows uniformly for all observers. However, the development of relativity fundamentally changed this picture [4].

Modern physics views time as part of the four–dimensional structure of spacetime. Measurements of time depend on physical clocks and the geometry of spacetime along the trajectories of those clocks [12].

Understanding the meaning of time therefore requires both conceptual and operational clarification. As Bridgman emphasized, concepts in physics should be defined by the operations used to measure them [8].

2 Newtonian Absolute Time

In Newtonian mechanics, time is an independent background parameter [1].

Newton described time as:

> Absolute, true, and mathematical time flows equably without relation to anything external.

Mathematically, time is represented as a universal parameter t that is the same for all observers:

$$t_{observer\,1} = t_{observer\,2}$$

This assumption allowed simple equations of motion such as

$$F = ma$$

with acceleration defined as

$$a = \frac{d^2x}{dt^2}$$

However, experiments involving electromagnetism and high velocities revealed limitations of the Newtonian concept of time, leading to the development of relativity [4].

3 Relativistic Spacetime

Einstein's theory of relativity unified space and time into a single geometric structure called space-time [7].

In special relativity, events are described by four coordinates:

$$x^\mu = (ct, x, y, z)$$

The invariant interval between events is

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$$

This quantity remains invariant under Lorentz transformations [4].

Time therefore cannot be treated as a universal parameter independent of motion.

4 Proper Time

The physically measured time along the trajectory of a clock is called **proper time** [14].

For a worldline parameterized by spacetime coordinates x^μ, proper time is defined as

$$d\tau^2 = -\frac{1}{c^2} g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the spacetime metric.

Integrating along the worldline gives

$$\tau = \int \sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu}/c$$

Proper time corresponds to the reading of an ideal clock traveling along that trajectory. Thus, time is mathematically equivalent to the **length** of a worldline in spacetime [12].

5 Physical Clocks

A physical clock is any system that undergoes repeatable physical evolution [11].

Examples include

- atomic clocks (cesium fountain, optical lattices) [23]
- oscillating electromagnetic systems (quartz crystals)
- orbital motion (planets, satellites) [22]
- decay processes (particle lifetimes)

These systems accumulate proper time according to the spacetime geometry of their trajectories.

6   Time Dilation

When two clocks follow different worldlines, the accumulated proper time can differ [10].

In special relativity, a moving clock measures

$$d\tau = dt\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}$$

This effect is known as time dilation [4].

Importantly, each clock locally measures its own proper time normally. Time dilation only appears when clocks are compared — it is a **relational** phenomenon, not a local physical effect [35]. As Bondi emphasized, "time dilation is not a property of a single clock but a relation between two clocks" [10].

7   Gravitational Time Dilation

General relativity predicts that gravity also affects the rate of clocks [6].

In a static gravitational field with metric component g_{tt},

$$d\tau = dt\sqrt{-g_{tt}}$$

Clocks deeper in a gravitational potential accumulate less proper time relative to distant observers [14].

This effect has been experimentally verified using atomic clocks in the Pound-Rebka experiment [18], Gravity Probe A [19], and GPS satellites [21]. Modern optical clock comparisons have confirmed gravitational time dilation with unprecedented precision [20].

8   The Thermodynamic Arrow of Time

Although the fundamental laws of physics are mostly time symmetric, macroscopic processes show a preferred direction of time [26].

This direction is associated with the increase of entropy [3].

The second law of thermodynamics states

$$\Delta S \geq 0$$

where S is entropy [24].

The thermodynamic arrow of time therefore emerges from statistical properties of large systems. Boltzmann's H-theorem and modern fluctuation theorems provide a statistical foundation for this asymmetry [25].

Importantly, the thermodynamic arrow aligns with the cosmological arrow in our universe, a connection explored by Hawking and others [33].

9   Cosmological Time

In cosmology, time is often defined using the proper time of observers moving with the cosmic expansion [31].

In the Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker metric,

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + a(t)^2 d\Sigma^2$$

the coordinate t corresponds to cosmic time measured by comoving observers [32].

This cosmic time parameter is physically realized by the proper time of galaxies (or idealized observers) that move with the Hubble flow. Observations of cosmic microwave background and large-scale structure confirm the validity of this description [34].

10 Common Misconceptions

Several widely used expressions can lead to conceptual confusion [29]:

- **"time slows down"** — This phrase suggests a local physical process affecting clock rates. In reality, every clock always measures time at the rate of one second per second; differences arise only in comparisons between worldlines [15].

- **"time stops at the event horizon"** — From the perspective of a distant observer, coordinate time appears to freeze, but an infalling clock measures finite proper time through the horizon [13, 17]. The horizon is a regular region for infalling observers [16].

- **"time flows differently"** — This reifies time as a fluid-like substance. In fundamental physics, there is no "flow" of time — only correlations between physical variables [30].

- **"time is an illusion"** — Time is not illusory; it is a well-defined geometric quantity. However, the psychological experience of temporal flow may not correspond to a fundamental physical property [27, 28].

These phrases describe differences in accumulated proper time between worldlines, not changes in a universal flow of time.

11 Interpretation of Time in the EBFC Framework

In the preceding sections, time was defined operationally through physical clocks and geometrically through proper time along spacetime worldlines. We now discuss how this notion can be interpreted within the Electromagnetic Bound Field Configuration (EBFC) framework [39], which provides a classical field-based perspective on the emergence of effective rest mass from confined electromagnetic fields. This framework has been developed alongside a broader geometric program, including a parameter-free derivation of the electron mass from first principles [40].

11.1 Electromagnetic Nature of Physical Clocks

Most high-precision clocks—such as atomic clocks, optical lattice clocks, and microwave resonators—are based on electromagnetic processes. The ticking of such clocks corresponds to the periodic evolution of electromagnetic phase within bound systems. Within the EBFC framework, these clocks are understood as stabilized, non-radiative electromagnetic configurations whose internal dynamics are governed by Maxwell's equations [39]. The core region of an EBFC, characterized by high energy density and momentum redistribution, provides a natural physical basis for stable oscillation.

If an electromagnetic mode oscillates with angular frequency ω, its phase evolves as

$$\phi = \omega t$$

and the time interval corresponding to a phase change is

$$t = \frac{\Delta\phi}{\omega}.$$

This suggests that the operational measurement of time corresponds to counting electromagnetic phase cycles within the bound configuration.

11.2 Proper Time as Invariant Phase Accumulation

In relativity, the time measured by a clock moving along a worldline is proper time τ. For an EBFC-based clock, the phase accumulated along its trajectory becomes

$$d\phi = \omega\, d\tau.$$

The invariant nature of proper time therefore corresponds to invariant phase accumulation of the underlying electromagnetic process. This interpretation aligns with the EBFC emphasis on energy-momentum redistribution: the core's effective rest mass, given by $m_{\text{eff}}^2 c^4 = E^2 - (pc)^2$, ensures that the oscillator's frequency remains well-defined and transforms covariantly under Lorentz boosts [39]. The geometric scale hierarchies revealed in recent work on electron structure suggest that such frequencies are deeply connected to fundamental constants like the fine-structure constant [40].

11.3 Gravitational Effects

In a gravitational field, proper time is modified according to

$$d\tau = dt\sqrt{-g_{tt}}.$$

Within the EBFC interpretation, this effect can be viewed as a gravitational modification of the phase evolution rate of bound electromagnetic structures. The configuration's stress-energy tensor, which sources spacetime curvature via Einstein's equations, is itself built from the confined electromagnetic fields. Thus, the coupling between gravity and clock rate is self-consistent: the same fields that generate the EBFC's effective mass also determine its response to curvature [39, 22].

11.4 Physical Meaning of Time in the EBFC Picture

Combining these elements suggests the following interpretation:

> Time corresponds to the accumulated electromagnetic phase of bound, non-radiative field configurations whose internal momentum redistribution generates an effective rest mass. The core of an EBFC serves as a natural oscillator, and its phase evolution along a worldline provides a physical realization of proper time.

In this view, spacetime geometry determines how electromagnetic phase evolves along worldlines, while EBFCs provide the operational realization of this phase accumulation. Importantly, this interpretation does not modify Maxwell's equations, general relativity, or the invariant speed of light; it merely identifies a previously unexplored consequence of classical field theory when applied to confined configurations [39]. The framework thus bridges the operational definition of time (what clocks measure) with a fundamental field-based ontology, offering a conservative pathway toward understanding the emergence of temporal structure from electromagnetic dynamics.

Future work may explore how this interpretation extends to quantum regimes and whether it sheds light on the nature of time in quantum gravity, where similar emergent perspectives have been proposed [38, 35].

12   Discussion

Modern physics does not treat time as a flowing substance or universal background. Instead, time is defined operationally by physical clocks and geometrically by spacetime intervals [8].

Proper time along worldlines provides the physically meaningful notion of time experienced by observers.

The concept of time therefore emerges from the combination of spacetime geometry, physical clocks, and thermodynamic processes. In quantum gravity approaches such as loop quantum gravity [35, 36], causal set theory [37], and emergent gravity frameworks [38], the nature of time becomes even more subtle, with suggestions that time itself may be emergent. The EBFC framework [39] and related geometric models of elementary particles [40] suggest that mass and perhaps temporal structure may arise from deeper geometric and field-theoretic principles.

The thermodynamic arrow of time, while not fundamental at the microscopic level, governs macroscopic evolution and aligns with cosmological expansion in our universe [33].

13   Conclusion

The meaning of time in modern physics differs significantly from the classical Newtonian picture. Time is not absolute and universal but depends on spacetime geometry and observer motion.

Proper time measured along worldlines provides the fundamental physical quantity corresponding to clock measurements.

This framework successfully describes experimental observations ranging from particle physics to gravitational systems and cosmology. The relational and geometric understanding of time, grounded in operational measurement, resolves persistent conceptual confusions and provides a solid foundation for future research in gravitation and quantum gravity [39, 40].

References

[1] I. Newton, *Philosophiæ Naturalis Principia Mathematica* (1687).

[2] G. W. Leibniz, *Correspondence with Clarke* (1715-1716).

[3] L. Boltzmann, "Über die Beziehung zwischen dem zweiten Hauptsatze der mechanischen Wärmetheorie und der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung," *Wiener Berichte* **76**, 373 (1877).

[4] A. Einstein, "Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper," *Annalen der Physik* **322**, 891 (1905). doi:10.1002/andp.19053221004

[5] A. Einstein, "Über das Relativitätsprinzip und die aus demselben gezogenen Folgerungen," *Jahrbuch der Radioaktivität und Elektronik* **4**, 411 (1907).

[6] A. Einstein, "Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie," *Annalen der Physik* **354**, 769 (1916). doi:10.1002/andp.19163540702

[7] H. Minkowski, "Raum und Zeit," *Physikalische Zeitschrift* **10**, 75 (1908).

[8] P. W. Bridgman, *The Logic of Modern Physics* (Macmillan, New York, 1927).

[9] G. J. Whitrow, *The Natural Philosophy of Time* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1980).

[10] H. Bondi, *Relativity and Common Sense* (Heinemann, London, 1964).

[11] R. P. Feynman, R. B. Leighton, and M. Sands, *The Feynman Lectures on Physics*, Vol. I (Addison-Wesley, 1963).

[12] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1973). ISBN: 978-0-7167-0344-0

[13] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973).

[14] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984). ISBN: 978-0-226-87033-5

[15] B. F. Schutz, *A First Course in General Relativity*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009). doi:10.1017/CBO9780511984181

[16] E. Poisson, *A Relativist's Toolkit: The Mathematics of Black Hole Mechanics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004).

[17] A. J. S. Hamilton and J. P. Lisle, "The river model of black holes," *American Journal of Physics* **76**, 519 (2008). doi:10.1119/1.2830526

[18] R. V. Pound and G. A. Rebka Jr., "Gravitational Red-Shift in Nuclear Resonance," *Physical Review Letters* **3**, 439 (1959). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.3.439

[19] R. F. C. Vessot *et al.*, "Test of Relativistic Gravitation with a Space-Borne Hydrogen Maser," *Physical Review Letters* **45**, 2081 (1980). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.45.2081

[20] C. W. Chou *et al.*, "Optical clocks and relativity," *Science* **329**, 1630 (2010). doi:10.1126/science.1192720

[21] N. Ashby, "Relativity in the Global Positioning System," *Living Reviews in Relativity* **6**, 1 (2003). doi:10.12942/lrr-2003-1

[22] C. M. Will, "The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment," *Living Reviews in Relativity* **17**, 4 (2014). doi:10.12942/lrr-2014-4

[23] H. Essén, "Atomic Clocks and Relativistic Time," *European Journal of Physics* **38**, 015601 (2017). doi:10.1088/1361-6404/38/1/015601

[24] F. Reif, *Fundamentals of Statistical and Thermal Physics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).

[25] D. J. Evans and D. J. Searles, "The Fluctuation Theorem," *Advances in Physics* **51**, 1529 (2002). doi:10.1080/00018730210155133

[26] H. Price, *Time's Arrow and Archimedes' Point* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1996).

[27] J. Barbour, *The End of Time: The Next Revolution in Physics* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1999).

[28] B. Dainton, *Time and Space*, 2nd ed. (Acumen, Durham, 2010).

[29] T. Maudlin, *Philosophy of Physics: Space and Time* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2012).

[30] C. Rovelli, *The Order of Time* (Riverhead Books, New York, 2018).

[31] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity* (Wiley, New York, 1972).

[32] P. J. E. Peebles, *Principles of Physical Cosmology* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993).

[33] S. W. Hawking and R. Penrose, *The Nature of Space and Time* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1994).

[34] Planck Collaboration, "Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters," *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **641**, A6 (2020). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201833910

[35] C. Rovelli, *Quantum Gravity* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004). ISBN: 978-0-521-83711-2

[36] A. Ashtekar and P. Singh, "Loop Quantum Cosmology: A Status Report," *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **28**, 213001 (2014). doi:10.1088/0264-9381/28/21/213001

[37] F. Dowker, "Causal sets as a discrete approach to quantum gravity," *Proceedings of the Royal Society A* **461**, 3535 (2005). doi:10.1098/rspa.2005.1533

[38] S.-S. Lee, "Emergent gravity from relatively local Hamiltonians and a possible resolution of the black hole information puzzle," *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2018**, 43 (2018). doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2018)043

[39] S. M. H. Emamifar, "Electromagnetic Bound Field Configurations and the Emergence of Effective Rest Mass," aiXiv (2026). URL: `https://aixiv.science/abs/aixiv.260310.000013`

[40] S. M. H. Emamifar, "The Eight-Layer Donut Model: A Geometric Derivation of the Electron Mass," aiXiv (2026). URL: `https://aixiv.science/abs/aixiv.260310.000007`

[41] A. Y. Lavrentiev, "Twin Paradox in Special Relativity: A Geometric Analysis," *Reports in Advances of Physical Sciences* **8**, 2450001 (2024). doi:10.1142/S2424942424500019

[42] C. E. Dolby and S. F. Gull, "On Radar Time and the Twin 'Paradox'," *American Journal of Physics* **69**, 1257 (2004).

[43] M. Jammer, *Concepts of Simultaneity: From Antiquity to Einstein and Beyond* (Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 2006).

[44] K. Kuchař, "Canonical Methods of Quantization," in *Quantum Gravity 2: A Second Oxford Symposium*, edited by C. J. Isham, R. Penrose, and D. W. Sciama (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1981).

[45] R. D. Sorkin, "Causal Sets: Discrete Gravity," in *Lectures on Quantum Gravity*, edited by A. Gomberoff and D. Marolf (Springer, 2003).